TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Virtual

April 6, 2021

7:00 p.m. - 10:27 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Loretta Taylor, Chairperson

Chris Kehoe, Deputy Director of Planning

Steven Kessler, Member

Thomas A. Bianchi, Vice-Chairperson

George Kimmerling, Member

Robert Foley, Member

Jeff Rothfeder, Member

Michael Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney

Joshua Subin, Assistant Town Attorney

1	April 6, 2021
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MS. LORETTA TAYLOR: Very good. We're
4	calling the meeting to order on April 6, planning
5	board session, regular session. Let's see, Chris,
6	would you do the flag, the pledge to the flag at
7	this point.
8	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mike, do you have the
9	flag or do you just want me to go ahead.
10	MR. MICHAEL PREZIOSI: Just give me one
11	second.
12	MR. KEHOE: Okay. I pledge allegiance to
13	the flag of the United States of America, and to
14	the Republic for which it stands, one nation
15	under God, indivisible with liberty and justice
16	for all.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. Would you do the
18	roll now of course, please Chris. Thank you.
19	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kimmerling.
20	MR. GEORGE KIMMERLING: Here.
21	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
22	MR. JEFF ROTHFEDER: Here.
23	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
24	MR. THOMAS A. BIANCHI: Here.

	Page 4
1	April 6, 2021
2	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Taylor?
3	MS. TAYLOR: Here.
4	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?
5	MR. STEPHEN KESSLER: Here.
6	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Foley?
7	MR. ROBERT FOLEY: Here.
8	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Cunningham?
9	MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: Here.
10	MR. KEHOE: And Mr. Subin?
11	MR. JOSH SUBIN: Here.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Excellent. We don't
13	have changes to the agenda, as far as I can tell,
14	so we can move on to the adoption of the minutes
15	of January 5th. Can I have a motion to adopt?
16	MR. KESSLER: So moved.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
18	MR. KIMMERLING: Sorry, on the question,
19	these minutes were not the verbatim minutes. Are
20	we waiting for those minutes, or are we adopting
21	these summary minutes for our work session.
22	MR. KEHOE: My understanding from
23	Rosemary Boyle and I double checked them, they're
24	pretty close to verbatim. I mean they don't

Dago 4
Page 5 April 6, 2021
contain a lot of general conversation followed.
So we consider those pretty much verbatim
minutes.
MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. That's fine. I'm
good, thanks, just wanted to make sure.
MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Well
MR. KIMMERLING: Second, if you needed a
second, I'm seconding it.
MS. TAYLOR: Yeah, I have a second so I
was on the question, then you preceded that with
your question, so are there any other questions
on this?
MS. TAYLOR: Okay. So, all in favor?
MULTIPLE: Aye.
MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Very good. The
first area of the agenda tonight obviously is
correspondence and to that end, we have PB 2019-
7, a letter dated March 18, 2021 from Joel
Greenberg, R.A., requesting the first one-year
time extension of conditional Site Plan approval
for Westchester Auto, excuse me, Auto Exchange
located at 2311 Crompond Road, Route 202.
MR. KESSLER: Madam Chair, I move that

	Page
1	April 6, 2021
2	we adopt Resolution A-21 approving the extension.
3	MS. TAYLOR: May I have a second on
4	that?
5	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
6	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
7	MS. TAYLOR: Good, alright. On the
8	question, all in favor?
9	MULTIPLE: Aye.
10	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright, very
11	good. The second item under correspondence is PB
12	2018-22, a letter dated February 26, 2021 from
13	Steven Basini, R.A., requesting the waiving of
14	certain conditions from PB Resolution 2-19 for
15	the 2nd Chance Rescue located at 260 6th Street.
16	[unintelligible] [00:03:56]. We should be
17	discussing that. I think there was some questions
18	that came up at the work session.
19	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, I believe Mr. Basini
20	is one of the attendees, right Mike Preziosi? I
21	think he can raise his hand and can be promoted?
22	MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah, I'm looking for
23	him, I don't see him on at the moment, unless

he's on a different name. Steve, if you want to

1	Page April 6, 2021
2	use the raise your hand function.
3	MR. CUNNINGHAM: He just raised it. I
4	was able to promote him.
5	MR. PREZIOSI: Thanks, Mike.
6	MS. TAYLOR: Is he there?
7	MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah, he's coming.
8	MS. TAYLOR: Oh.
9	MR. STEVEN BASINI: Hi. Sorry about
10	that. Can you hear me?
11	MR. PREZIOSI: Yes.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Yes.
13	MR. BASINI: So thank you, I heard you
14	explain that the letter was there and then you
15	asked me to raise my hand. Should I begin to
16	explain the letter or how would you like to
17	proceed?
18	MS. TAYLOR: I think you should
19	MR. BASINI: Okay. Sure.
20	MS. TAYLOR: talk about why you feel
21	you need those conditions removed.
22	MR. BASINI: Thank you. So a couple of
23	years ago when this was first in front of your
24	board, there was a complaint actually that came

2.3

forward from one of the neighbors. It was a videotape from a couple of years prior of dogs barking and from their house across the street on Madeline. And at the time, we stated that it was not actually from the facility or from the facility that was there prior on the second floor, because they weren't in existence at the time of the video. However, it was evident that dogs barking from that yard in the back of this building would cause a problem and could cause a problem. And so it was agreed at the time that the tenants would put a sound mat around the proposed chain run in the back.

It was also stated at the time that as far as the resolution that if there were any sound complaints that there was an escrow account to be put aside for an engineer to come out and to assess the situation and see what could be done.

Since then, what has happened, the managers have changed, it's changed management and so there was an open resolution that never got resolved. But in the meantime what they also

2.3

did is they limited the number of dogs that actually go in those pens. Where there was proposed to be there, there are actually only two enclosures in that one rectangle. If I could actually share the site plan for you, if that's okay Mike, can I do that, so that they can see the site plan while I speak?

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, you have full access, Steve.

MR. BASINI: Thank you. Okay. So if you can see the site plan, that rectangle right there where it says proposed dog run, this is not three divisions, actually it's only two, one in the middle, so there's actually fewer dogs that go in there. And the plan was to only really bring one or two in at a time, because the personalities of the dogs, especially rescues, don't lend themselves to be mixed in too much. So they tried to exclude them and give them enough play time in there, one or two at a time, so now there's only, with the two divisions, there's only really four dogs in there maybe max at a time.

So there have really not been any issues

2.3

I believe planning has not presented us with anything as far as any complaints. And meanwhile, however, next door in the other facility, they still have a lot of dogs that they bring out there because it's more of a kindergarten, it's more of a daycare situation. And even with that, there haven't been any complaints that I know of, or that have been brought to my attention.

So, I believe that the reason being is because we did not add anything to the sound over there. Plus also, what I had argued earlier is that that hillside, you can see by the topography that's drawn on this, it's estimated topography, but that's really sunk down in a hill and there are a row of trees, oak trees there and some evergreens that also buffer that hillside.

What's happening now as part of the overall repurchase of the property, there's a new owner coming in, and you'll see on this site plan right there, this is sort of a partial proposed site plan. They're going around and cleaning up a lot of that dead brush there. If you haven't been

2.3

out there in a while, there are vines killing all those trees and evergreens. So right now what they're doing is cutting down those. And they've met with the town engineers, and they're submitting plans.

But the proposal is as you see there, to put a row of spruces along that hillside right there on the property line, which is not there now. There are some there, but they're very unhealthy. So the proposal is to clean that up, grade that a little bit right there, and put those in to first of all protect the building, you know, the neighbors from seeing it. But it's really even going to more so lend assistance to any type of noise.

So the fact that there's no sound mat that went on there because of the change in management over the last year and a half, and plantings have taken place and no complaints have happened, the request by the tenant is to alter the conditions of the approval, especially keeping in mind that the owners are coming in to present something like this, which is outside of

2.3

their scope, the 2nd Chance Rescue Scope, but will lend to help the situation. So I'm hoping I explained it well enough, and you see it our way.

MR. KIMMERLING: But is this -- so I'm, so that makes sense. I'm a little confused. Is there actually a new proposal in front of us to approve this new --

MR. BASINI: No.

MR. KIMMERLING: -- dog run and trees?
Or, no?

MR. BASINI: No. What I wanted to show this to you is just because I wanted to first of all show how the dog run was proposed originally, where the stairs were and how --

MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah.

MR. BASINI: And also just because the discussion came up since, you know I've been submitting this to the Board, it was actually a while ago, but I knew you had a busy agenda previously. So, since then we've, this has now evolved into this, which is the owners have now closed and they're moving forward with a beautification so to speak, of the site. And so

2.3

April 6, 2021

this sort of just fell into place, I think nicely, with this proposal. And so taking it out of the hands of 2nd Chance Rescue, putting it into the owner who is really doing the full site sweep anyway, it just seems to all make sense. So this is not proposal in front of you right now. This, as an overall site plan, still has to go through engineering.

MR. KIMMERLING: So my, the concern I have with the request is that there are two things that you're asking to sort of have waived or changed, right. One of them is the sound mat, which was part of sort of the whereas clauses in the condition, right. So, because you were proposing this, we were going to grant this approval. The second thing, right, was a condition based on whether there were to be any additional noise complaints and there weren't, so I get sort of waiving that. That was a if there are complaints, we would do this other thing, and there weren't complaints so you shouldn't have to.

But it does seem to me that we approved

2.3

this on the basis of your putting those mats up which, not you, they were never put up and now we're being asked to waive that condition, which is not even a condition, just remove that sort of whereas. I don't know, that seems odd to me. You know, we thought you were going to do it. You never did it and now you're asking can we not have to do it. I don't know. That seems a little weird to me in terms of the logic of that. But I'm interested in what my colleagues think, and certainly what Mr. Cunningham thinks.

MR. BASINI: May I speak a minute to the logic?

MR. KIMMERLING: Absolutely.

MR. BASINI: I mean initially, it was the previous owners, it became cost prohibitive for them, which is why they didn't do it. And then the new managers came in and realized over the course of nine months, or whatever it is, a year, since the previous owner or management had been running it, there were no complaints and there were no issues, they didn't see the need for it at this point. And it still is cost

2.3

prohibitive. You know, every dollar that they take in goes to rescuing another dog, so that was really what this is all about. Every cent that they take in from donations goes to saving another dog. So that's why this is coming before you now. That's the logic behind it. It wasn't sort of just saying we would do it and then realize eventually we'll just not do it. It became cost prohibitive at the time, new management came in and here we are.

MR. KIMMERLING: But in agreeing, and your client proposing to use those mats to line the fence, shouldn't the cost of doing that have been built into the proposal? So they should have known how much it was going to cost before they proposed it and before we relied on that proposed condition in order to grant this approval.

MR. BASINI: Yes, there were some estimates made on some materials. And then I guess now, a year later when they, you know, they had some time to do the work, obviously, by the time they got the fence up and everything was up and running, the chain went in the ground, I

2.3

April 6, 2021

think the prices went up, they realized it was a little bit more expensive than they anticipated. I don't run their finances, but that was what I understood.

MR. KIMMERLING: Thank you.

MR. BIANCHI: Then my question is why did they wait so long to tell us that? To wait for the renewal.

MR. BASINI: It was something that, my understanding was that they had resolved this. The owner that has just left, Appian Way Ventures is no longer part of this property anymore. Had a certain other number of violations on the property that we have now spent the last few months clearing up, and so this was one of them, it was not a violation, it was more of just an open resolution that never got resolved and, you know, the tenants and the owners were maybe not communicating too well, and now, as part, through the new owners working with me and working with some other people to try and get the site up and running properly, this was flagged.

And so I can't explain to why the early

1 April 6, 2021 2 management and the current management didn't address it earlier but I just know that now we 3 4 realize that this is, you know, we're trying to make the site better basically. We're trying to 5 clear up all violations and make this building 6 7 and the tenant relations with the owner better. So that's why it's coming up now. 8 9 MR. FOLEY: You know, Steve, the couple 10 or the previous owners that we had before the 11 Board a year ago, they're gone, correct? 12 MR. BASINI: The management, yeah. 13 MR. FOLEY: The management? 14 MR. BASINI: I mean there was a manager 15 there, I mean some of the management is still 16 there, but yes. 17 MR. FOLEY: The lady or two ladies? 18 MR. BASINI: Yeah, one of the ladies, 19 actually, yes, correct. 20 MR. FOLEY: Okay. 21 MR. BASINI: They are still there. One 22 of them is offsite, one of them is sort of

accounting. But the general manager, the

gentleman is not there anymore.

2.3

Page 18 1 April 6, 2021 MR. FOLEY: One was like on-call in case 2 3 she was needed, alright. Second, so it's 4 condition five you want wiped off here? MR. BASINI: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 5 6 you, what was that? 7 MR. FOLEY: The condition five on the last page of the proposed resolution that we had 8 9 that Chris sent us. MR. BASINI: I don't have that in front 10 11 of me. 12 MR. KEHOE: Can you just read it, Bob? 13 MR. FOLEY: It says here, condition 14 five, in the event noise complaints persist from 15 this facility, and it's determined by the 16 Department of Tech Services to be at a level 17 reaching or exceeding the town's noise ordinance, 18 then an acoustical engineer or qualified noise 19 expert shall be retained at the expense of the 20 applicant to further evaluate the day-to-day 21 operations, etc. Then it mentions the escrow 22 account.

24 MR. FOLEY: So that one, am I clear

MR. BASINI: Correct.

2.3

1 April 6, 2021 2 Chris or Mike or whoever, that's the condition you're asking to be waived? 3 4 MR. BASINI: I am. There was a certain 5 amount of escrow that was supposed to be put in 6 for, to be evaluated over a year. And so year, 7 since the year is gone and no complaints have gone in, it didn't seem to make sense at this 8 9 point for the tenants to put the money in if the 10 period of time has passed and it's been proven 11 not to be an issue. MR. KESSLER: Just for the record, it 12 13 was 24 months, not a year. 14 MR. BASINI: I'm sorry, I apologize. I 15 didn't have it in front of me, yeah, 24 months. 16 MR. FOLEY: So if we waive that whole 17 condition, then what happens on the noise 18 complaint part of it? Perhaps --19 MR. KESSLER: I quess the issue is 24 20 months have elapsed now. 21 MR. BASINI: Right. 22 MR. KESSLER: So do we relieve you from 2.3 the escrow because that's, the condition was 24 24 months and you're saying no complaints,

1 April 6, 2021 2 therefore, relieve me of the escrow, correct? MR. BASINI: That's correct, yes. And 3 4 then, and, you know, Chris and Mike are here. I mean I don't know if there's anything come up in 5 the last month since we've filed this, but I 6 7 don't believe there's any complaint. MR. FOLEY: My question would be, 8 9 suppose as you proceed, there are complaints, the 10 protocol was broken with the number of dogs that 11 are --12 MR. KESSLER: Okay. But Bob, the 13 resolution had 24 months. You can't change the 14 resolution now. 15 MR. FOLEY: Well --16 MR. KESSLER: Right. The resolution said 17 24 months, 24 months have elapsed so the question 18 on the table is do we relieve them of the escrow, 19 given the condition? 20 MR. CUNNINGHAM: And I think, on the 21 escrow, we're also relieving them of the 22 condition, to full condition number five. 2.3 MR. KEHOE: Well, and I think though

what Bob is asking and this is I guess for Mike

1 April 6, 2021 2 and Mike, is that if there are noise complaints, they would be investigated, and I think code 3 4 enforcement --MR. FOLEY: Okay. 5 MR. KEHOE: -- would require some 6 7 methodology to address those noise complaints. So it could be required by code. 8 9 MR. FOLEY: Okay. 10 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Right. They're still 11 going to be subject to any noise requirements in 12 the town code, just like any other property. 13 MR. FOLEY: Okay. Good. 14 MR. ROTHFEDER: So Chris, did anybody 15 try to get the escrow money from them over this time? 16 17 MR. KEHOE: Well, you know, that's 18 what's been going here a little bit, is, you 19 know, I've been in touch with Steve and, you 20 know, occasionally poking him a little saying, 21 you know, we've got to get this wrapped up and 22 then it took a while, and then there was a

property transfer, meaning new people came in. So

this site plan has never actually, this site plan

2.3

2.3

modification for 2nd Chance Rescue had never been signed and finalized. They never met the conditions of the approval from a couple of years ago. It was one of those things where you had an existing tenant in there and when, you know, when they got quote unquote approved by the planning board, it just sort of went by the wayside a little bit. If they were trying to get in the facility, they would have been after us.

MR. KESSLER: So Chris, are you saying that 24 months is still operable because it was never signed?

MR. KEHOE: Well, that could be an interpretation. I mean Steve Basini's position is the fact that there have been no noise complaints obviates that.

MR. PREZIOSI: So I would caution just as we were discussing at the work session to hold the condition until such time as we get the landscape plan. I think Steve simplified it just a bit. The owner was a little overzealous and did clear cut a lot of the trees, the vegetation that we had discussed in our walk through prior to

1	April 6, 2021
2	obtaining the proper permits from the town,
3	especially our office. So a tree permit and a
4	tree removal permit was not filed for before a
5	lot of the work was done. So I would say that
6	until such time as these plantings have been
7	installed to our satisfaction that we hold that
8	condition just to give a little leeway and
9	leverage as far as getting the plantings put
10	back.
11	MR. KIMMERLING: And what about the
12	condition of lining of the pens which is actually
13	not a condition, but in the whereas clauses above
14	the resolution part of that document?
15	MR. PREZIOSI: That should still be
16	done.
17	MR. BASINI: I'm sorry?
18	MR. PREZIOSI: That should still be
19	done.
20	MR. KESSLER: Agreed.
21	MR. BASINI: Can I ask, we're talking,
22	respectfully, I guess when you were talking, you
23	asked about logic before George, the logic behind
24	the request and I'm just wondering what I

2.3

understand it's in a different spot of the document maybe than that is something that can more easily I guess be requested or forgiven, but why, under the same reasons why you're saying the acoustical engineer wouldn't need to be there anymore, but without the mat there hasn't been any complaints. So what, I'm just trying to figure out what the -- is there no mechanism to go back in and revise that? I mean you're saying just flat out that it needs to go back, it needs to go in, but it hasn't been needed I guess is my point. It was to serve a purpose but the purpose wasn't, isn't needed anymore. So I'm just trying to determine that.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: The only mechanism would be for the Board to vote on an amendment to their prior resolution.

MR. KEHOE: So I guess is what Mike

Preziosi is saying is that given the change in

ownership of this facility, and given apparently,

you know, code enforcement and engineering have

met people out at the site, the new owners coming

in, cleaning up, removing some dead trees, you

2.3

know, some site maintenance that didn't need to go to the planning board. Maybe what Mike is saying have these row of trees planted, get the site in order, hold this request in abeyance until a certain amount of time passes and see if that landscaping is sufficient for the Board.

Maybe Steve Basini would come back in a couple of months. I don't know if the Board is agreeable to that.

MR. BIANCHI: I would agree to that.

 $\label{eq:MS.TAYLOR:No,Iam,I'm definitely} \mbox{agreeable to that.}$

MR. KIMMERLING: I'm fine with that as well.

MR. ROTHFEDER: I think, see I think it's interesting, we're separating out the baffling from the escrow part essentially. And so, what you were just saying George before was that, you know, the baffling part should be done because that's part of the agreed upon site plan. And so maybe, I mean my suggestion would be that Basini comes before us with a proposal to amend this in a few months, and then we can make the

1	April 6, 2021
2	decision about both things, about the escrow and
3	the baffling.
4	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah. And I think a few
5	months is smart, especially with the warmer
6	weather, more neighbors would be outside. I think
7	part of the complaint was, you know, I'm out on
8	my deck and I can hear the noise. So I think the
9	timing is good too for that as well.
10	MR. ROTHFEDER: Right. And I meant as a
11	real proposal, rather than just
12	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, yeah.
13	MR. ROTHFEDER: asking us to waive
14	something, yeah.
15	MR. BASINI: Okay. So, in other words, a
16	planning board application, like a revision to a
17	site plan approval, is that what we're talking
18	about? I guess Chris, I can talk to you about
19	that after.
20	MR. KEHOE: Well, I think that could be
21	handled via correspondence.
22	MR. BASINI: Okay.
23	MR. KEHOE: But you would do up another
24	little revised site plan and attach it with a

1	April 6, 2021
2	cover letter and come back in a couple months and
3	say the landscaping is in and we hereby request,
4	you know, similar to what you requested this
5	time.
6	MR. BASINI: Okay.
7	MR. KEHOE: And then the planning board
8	could actually approve that revised drawing and
9	then get signatures and wrap it up.
10	MR. KIMMERLING: Right.
11	MR. BASINI: Okay. Is there a day that,
12	yeah, sorry, Tom.
13	MR. BIANCHI: What we're saying is that
14	the reconsideration of the matter also subject to
15	that two month period of time, to see if they're
16	really needed, and we, if we choose, we could
17	then eliminate that condition. Is that what Jeff
18	is saying?
19	MR. KEHOE: I think so. I think
20	MR. ROTHFEDER: We could approve
21	essentially an amendment to the site plan that
22	eliminates that, right.
23	MR. BIANCHI: Okay.
24	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah.

1	April 6, 2021
2	MS. TAYLOR: Now, I'm a little confused.
3	I'm sitting here listening and, you know, being
4	quiet purposefully. What exactly would this
5	amendment be?
6	MR. ROTHFEDER: The site plan would be
7	changed to remove the noise baffling as well as
8	the escrow.
9	MR. KIMMERLING: So, Jeff, do you mean -
10	_
11	MS. TAYLOR: Well that, that's an
12	amendment to the site plan, right.
13	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, yeah.
14	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Should he then
15	present it more formally as an amendment to the
16	site plan?
17	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yes.
18	MS. TAYLOR: He's getting rid of two
19	things there, you know.
20	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, in a couple
21	come back in a couple months
22	MS. TAYLOR: I mean that's what we want
23	[unintelligible] [00:23:45].
24	MR. ROTHFEDER: and do that.

	\mathbf{p}_{α}
1	Page 29 April 6, 2021
2	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah.
3	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, it will be an
4	amendment to the site plan, but it can be handled
5	via correspondence rather than a new fee and a
6	new planning board number and a number case
7	number, unless you wanted to do it that way.
8	That's your call. But we typically handle things
9	like that via correspondence.
10	MR. BASINI: Yeah, that's fine.
11	MR. ROTHFEDER: Great.
12	MR. FOLEY: So back to my original
13	question then, on condition five, when Jeff
14	mentions the baffling, you're talking about the
15	acoustic part in condition five?
16	MR. KIMMERLING: No.
17	MR. FOLEY: No?
18	MR. KIMMERLING: We're talking about the
19	whereas clause that
20	MR. FOLEY: Oh, in the whereas.
21	MR. KIMMERLING: it at the top of
22	page two.
23	MR. FOLEY: Okay. Got it.
24	MR. KIMMERLING: I believe.

	Page 3
1	April 6, 2021
2	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. If we're done, I'll
3	take it.
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Yes, please, thank you.
6	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. Madam Chair, I move
7	that we, I guess the word is refer this back to
8	staff for resolution of the issue of the
9	violation and the trees and the new plantings
10	that we discussed and have this come back to us
11	in a couple of months with a revised site plan.
12	MR. KESSLER: Second.
13	MS. TAYLOR: On the question, all in
14	favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay. Thank you so
17	much.
18	MR. BASINI: Thank you for your time.
19	Have a good night.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Alright, the next area will
21	be a new public hearing, this is for the
22	audience, of PB 2021-2. It's a public hearing,
23	excuse me, on the application of Martin Stejskal,
24	AIA, of Architectural Visions, on behalf of

1	April 6, 2021
2	Jaqueline Millar, for approval of an Accessory
3	Apartment for property located at 58 Old Oregon
4	Road, with drawings dated February 18, 2021.
5	Now this is a public hearing. I, let me
6	just first find out from Mike, Mr. Cunningham,
7	I'm sorry, why am I going crazy here today. Do we
8	have anybody in the audience who will be speaking
9	on behalf of whatever, either the application or
10	people in the audience who want to make comments
11	against this?
12	MR. CUNNINGHAM: We have Martin Stacy
13	out here. I'll promote him first.
14	MS. TAYLOR: He's in?
15	MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah, we're promoting
16	him.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Well, let me, before
18	you do that, would you please just, can you tell
19	whether there are other people who want to
20	because I want to read the
21	MR. PREZIOSI: There are, Loretta. Do
22	you want Chris or myself to state the proceedings
23	
24	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah, I want to do the

1 April 6, 2021 2 quidelines. MR. PREZIOSI: -- on how public hearings 3 4 work? MS. TAYLOR: Just so everybody will be 5 6 clear. 7 MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah. 8 MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Just that's why I 9 wanted to know, if there's nobody there, no sense 10 in reading all this out. Anyway, let me just say, 11 for the public's benefit, that this is a public 12 hearing, excuse me, for the application of an 13 accessory apartment for property at 58 Old Oregon 14 Road. This is the first public hearing. Usually 15 they run, these kinds of applications, run fairly 16 short. They usually only just need one public 17 hearing. But certainly if you're here this 18 evening and you have some issues or you want to 19 just sort of agree with whatever is being 20 proposed here, you have an opportunity to speak 21 tonight. And only one person, obviously is

> The applicant will first, you know, present a little introduction of what the

allowed to speak at a time.

22

2.3

2.3

application is and then after that, the people who wish to speak to this application can raise their hands and they will be then promoted to speaker in the order in which the hand was raised. We're asking that you be as brief as possible to allow time for others to speak. And we're asking you also not to repeat comments that have already been made multiple times and to keep those comments as specific to the issues related to this particular matter.

Do not expect questions to be responded to by the applicant or the planning board at this meeting. They will be responded to at subsequent meetings by the applicant. The public hearing is not the time for public debate or back and forth. The public hearing is to get questions and issues on the record.

So, I'm sorry. We can begin now with Mr. Stejskal.

MR. MARTIN STEJSKAL: Hi, good evening,
Martin Stejskal. I'm going to share my screen if
that's okay. So, what I'm sharing here is the
cover sheet, which shows the existing house. This

April 6, 2021

house was built back, I think 2003, 2004. It's on sewer and there was a basement that was finished as part of the original house and a previous owner set that up as an apartment by adding a stove into the space.

knowing that it was an illegal apartment and now wants to legalize it. So we are going through the process and basically, he's the floor plan, there was a bedroom there, a living room and then there's a kitchen there now. The stove was added from the original approved. This was the original approved drawing. That was when they finished the basement. And so we're going to add some fire graded walls based on discussions with Martin Rogers and we're going to follow all his requirements as soon as you guys have your comments addressed. So I guess I'm done, unless you guys have any questions of me.

MS. TAYLOR: Well, we're going to go to the audience first.

MR. STEJSKAL: Sure.

MS. TAYLOR: Mm-hmm.

	Page 3
1	April 6, 2021
2	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Okay. So if you'd like
3	to speak on this application, we know there are a
4	lot of speakers on the next one. But if you'd
5	like to speak just on this application, please
6	raise your hand or hit star 6 if you dialed in. I
7	see no hands raised.
8	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Then I guess we need
9	to just move on forward to the Board. Board
10	members, do you have questions for this
11	applicant?
12	MR. KESSLER: No.
13	MR. KIMMERLING: No.
14	MS. TAYLOR: Okay, well I suppose then
15	we're ready for a vote.
16	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Jeff, would you take care
18	of that? Thank you.
19	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah. Madam Chair, I
20	move that we approve resolution is it 9-21?
21	MR. KESSLER: We're going to close the
22	public hearing first.

public hearing first.

MS. TAYLOR: You're going to close the

23

1	April 6, 2021
2	MR. ROTHFEDER: Oh, I'm sorry.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah.
4	MR. ROTHFEDER: Madam Chair, I move we
5	close the public hearing.
6	MR. FOLEY: Second.
7	MS. TAYLOR: Do you want go ahead and do
8	a second, I heard someone coming up with it, so
9	you might as well go, you might as well do that.
10	Hello?
11	MR. ROTHFEDER: Well, Steve just did a
12	second, so should we vote on this?
13	MS. TAYLOR: Did he do it? I thought I
14	heard someone.
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yes.
16	MR. FOLEY: That was me.
17	MR. ROTHFEDER: Oh.
18	MR. FOLEY: I was muted, but, I'm sorry.
19	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. We have a second for
20	that, okay, we have a second. On the question
21	MR. FOLEY: On the question
22	MS. TAYLOR: all in favor?
23	MR. FOLEY: On the question.
24	MS. TAYLOR: On the question?
	Π

	Page 37
1	April 6, 2021
2	MR. FOLEY: It's Foley, on the question.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Go on.
4	MR. FOLEY: I'm sorry. I was muted
5	before. According to what I read in Chris' memo,
6	so when this house was built with a basement,
7	just as a finished basement, then it became an
8	occupied accessory apartment illegally. So I
9	understand what they're, trying to make it legal.
10	This, is this similar to the one we had last year
11	next door, the large house that was built? Chris,
12	do you know?
13	MR. KEHOE: Yes, I think it's similar,
14	because both the one, pretty much next door to
15	this and this one had existing apartments in them
16	already that were just being legalized either
17	through property transfers or some activity by a
18	prior owner.
19	MR. FOLEY: Okay. Alright. I was
20	concerned because of these large houses on that
21	little street. Alright. Go ahead.
22	MS. TAYLOR: All in favor?
23	MULTIPLE: Aye.

MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Alright.

	Page 3
1	April 6, 2021
2	MR. ROTHFEDER: Madam Chair, I move that
3	we approve resolution 9-21, approving the
4	application.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
6	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
7	MS. TAYLOR: Second, please.
8	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
9	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Thank you. On the
10	question, all in favor?
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? All rightie. So
13	Mr. Stejskal, you're done.
14	MR. STEJSKA: Great. Thank you.
15	MS. TAYLOR: All rightie. We're moving
16	to an adjourned public hearing for the PB 6-15,
17	the application of Hudson Ridge Wellness Center,
18	for Site Development Plan approval and a Special
19	Permit for a hospital to be located at the former
20	Hudson Institute property to provide a New York
21	State Office of Addiction Services and Support
22	certified 92-bed facility to treat individuals

with chemical dependency issues located at 2016

Quaker Ridge Road, and as shown on an eight-page

23

2.3

set of drawings entitled Hudson Ridge Wellness

Center Town of Cortlandt, Westchester County, New

York, latest revision March 20, 2019.

Now, again, I will be reading the guidelines for this public hearing. This is one for the Hudson Wellness Center, it's PB 6-15.

This is the second meeting on this, or I should say the second public hearing on this application. We will have the hearing tonight and we will then continue this hearing into a special meeting to be held on Thursday April 22nd at 7:00 p.m. The special meeting, in the case of a special meeting, this will be the only case on that agenda, so it's entire, that evening is entirely devoted to Hudson Wellness Center. To tonight will not be the last opportunity for anyone to speak.

The applicant will start the meeting and then make an opening presentation. And then after that point, the public will be permitted to speak. We will ask that you raise your hand function to speak or use the raise your hand, I'm sorry, use the raise your hand function to speak

1

April 6, 2021

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

and be recognized in the order in which your hand is raised.

Please be as brief as possible to allow time for others to speak. Please do not repeat comments that have already been made multiple times. Please also keep your comments to specific issues related to this application. Do not expect questions to be responded to, either by the applicant or the planning board at this meeting. They will be responded to at subsequent meetings by the applicant. The public hearing is not a time for debate or back and forth. It is a time to get questions and issues on the record.

We have decided we would probably have to conclude the hearing at 10:00 o'clock, or earlier, if it should end, people are finished up earlier, but there are other items that we still need to be discussing after this case, so I think with that, we are done with the guidelines. Mr. Davis.

MR. BOB DAVIS: Yes?

MR. PREZIOSI: Loretta, I just want to interject real quick that there a few individuals 2.3

April 6, 2021

that have already begun to raise their hand. If you're on Zoom and you want to speak, use the raise your hand function and/or star 6 if you're on a cell phone. I will be writing down your names in the order in which you are raising your hand. So please, everyone be patient, and we'll try to get to as many individuals as specified by Chairwoman Taylor.

MS. TAYLOR: All rightie then, Mr. Davis, you're on.

MR. DAVIS: Yes, good evening, Madam Chairperson. I'm Bob Davis, attorney for the applicants. I just have some brief comments tonight, mercifully, I will be brief. I'm sure you can agree. As agreed with the Board at the March meeting, we will mainly listen to public comment tonight. And as previously noted, and as mentioned in my March 23rd cover letter to our submission for this meeting, we'll respond to all public comment in writing at the conclusion of the hearing. And as provided in the SEQRA process, the applicants are entitled to the final response, the last word, if you will, on their

2.3

_

own application, not the opposing parties.

Our March 23rd submission responded to the February pre-hearing letter of the neighbor's counsel and also to some unfounded accusation and innuendos at the March meeting. We've since received the March submission of the neighbor's counsel of over 200 pages, over 100 pages of that was a letter from one neighbor from March of 2018, which we had refuted three years ago. We don't know why that was resubmitted, but as you know, we've gone through a long and exhaustive review process with your board and the zoning board, so many, if not most of the other comments in counsel's March submission have been previously addressed also.

At the April 1st session, work session, it was mentioned that we would be making further presentation at the hearing about our application but in fact, as you know, we've already made many public presentations before this board since 2015, so we want to avoid burdening the Board with repetition to the extent possible. We do feel somewhat prejudiced through no fault of

April 6, 2021

yours, through the long delays between some of or presentations, so that they may not be as fresh in the Board's mind as some of the opponents comments at this point.

But with respect to this public hearing, we did make a presentation in January, we did make one in March. The latter was a bit truncated, but you do have my full outline to both, as well as those of all of my other prior applications. As I referenced in January and March, I would specifically refer your attention to the PowerPoint presentation of our hydrogeologist back in December and that of our traffic engineer in January 2019. You also have had a number of presentations by your own professional experts and we would submit that they essentially agree with and validate our experts.

We've also had, it's been a while, but
we've also had numerous meetings with your
professional staff to go over every detail of
this application, especially the site plan and to
make many refinements. Everything we've presented

2.3

and our response to public comment as of that date is encompassed in our March 2019 four volume consolidated expanded environmental assessment report, which he have mentioned before, and in particular, I'd draw your attention to our SEQRA non-significance analysis in Appendix 31 and our list of stipulated mitigative conditions in our Appendix 37, also the executive summary is a handy way to see the main points of our application.

and then our February 2021 submission updated you with all of the submissions since we filed those volumes in March 2019. So, at this point, we've said everything we needed to say we feel and more. We've done everything asked of us by the town, we feel, and more. And we fully addressed substantial public comment through the first six years of this process up until this hearing. Now we'll just await and address the hearing comments at the conclusion. Of course, we do reserve the right to make any presentation during the hearing that we may feel is necessary.

Finally, in view of some of the

2.3

insinuations about the applicants made at the last hearing, I would like to share one piece of information about the opposition that we've kind of faced throughout. I'm sure tonight you'll be hearing many different things about environmental concerns, water, traffic and the like, which we have addressed at great length already.

We know from their social media, which we monitor, that the opposition has advised its constituents of the Americans with Disabilities Act, which as you know, is applicable to this matter. And accordingly has essentially asked people to be politically correct and told them what they should say to this board. It's been very orchestrated in that regard.

That's been their approach really since the initial public meetings on this matter five or six years ago, where certain people at the outset, for example, stated, quote, they were concerned about men running through the woods at night and the safety of their children.

So it came as no surprise to use when our client received a message recently, just

2.3

since the last meeting, from one of the neighbors. I don't know if it's one of Mr.

Schwartz's clients or not, we don't know who all of his clients are. But it stated in pertinent part, and I quote, we certainly don't need a bunch of drunks and drug addicts roaming our narrow roads and streets. These types of businesses don't belong here anymore than the yeshivas. They would just attract garbage of many kinds, end quote.

So while certain people have the right to make -- everyone has the right to make reasonable comments and to ask reasonable questions and they certainly have asked many and we've tried to respond to them at length, the comment that I just read, unfortunately, seems to be the crux of the matter for some lying just beneath the surface of their purported environmental concerns. And I honestly think it underlies some of the high end, low end discussion we had at the last meeting.

Certainly, it's at odds with the town supervisor's letter to the editor in *The Examiner*

2.3

last week praising the town for being such a welcoming community. I'm sure that's true in very large part. But sadly, that's not been our experience in this particular matter. So thank you for your consideration. That's all we have to offer tonight, and we'll listen to what everyone has to say. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Mr. Preziosi, would you call the first commenter.

MR. PREZIOSI: Absolutely. So the first is going to be Brad Schwartz and David Steinmetz, representing the citizens, and then I have currently about 15 residents that have raised their hands. As I stated before, we're going to try to get through everybody up until 10:00 p.m. tonight, and then follow up with the next scheduled public hearing in April on the 22nd.

MR. KESSLER: Representing some citizens, as far as we know, right, Mike.

MR. PREZIOSI: Right. And I would stress that Mr. Schwartz and Steinmetz represent and state who they are and are not representing. Just give me a second. Mr. Davis, I'm just going to

2.3

mute you, if you don't mind, and you can feel free to unmute yourself.

MR. DAVIS: Thank you, I welcome it, Mike, thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Good evening, Madam
Chair, members of the Board. Just for the record,
Brad Schwartz from Zarin & Steinmetz, here
representing the Citizens for Responsible Hudson
Institution Site Development, joined by my
colleagues David Steinmetz and Max Mahalek. As
the residents and members of CRHISD speak
tonight, they will identify themselves as members
of CRHISD, so that will be mentioned on the
record as the folks from CRHISD do speak.

There are several members from CHRISD on the Zoom call, as well as a number of professional consultants representing the group. For the record, Steven Forneris, an architect from Perkins Eastman, Bernie Adler, traffic engineer from Chazen Engineering, Ed Larkin, coconsultant also from Chazen and Caren LoBrutto, our planning consultant, also from Chazen.

2.3

We observed the work session the other night. We're pleased that the Board has scheduled a site visit. We think that's really going to help bring to life all the issues that have been raised in our submissions at the last hearing as well as tonight. Logistically, CRHISD's members are amenable to the applicant accompanying the Board on its resident properties as long as that courtesy is reciprocated. We think it would benefit everyone to walk these sites together and see the same views and perspectives. So I'll defer to the Board on how they want to conduct that, but I wanted to put that out there as an overture.

We also heard the Board's comments about trying to shorten the length of these hearings. Clearly Mr. Davis did as well. So we are also going to endeavor tonight to keep our comments focused and limited on those that pertain to the upcoming site visit. We think there are certain matters that we'd like the Board to consider in advance of the site visit and while you're walking the properties that morning, and while

2.3

you're out there, we would encourage you not just the sites, but also spend some time walking the roads or driving around and really taking in and absorbing the look and feel of this residential community. It's so essential to this application.

And when we come back for the special meeting in April, we're also going to show you the location of other high end rehab facilities and we'll compare and contrast it to this site and to this residential neighborhood.

So what we'd like to do tonight is have the four consultants speak whether Madam Chair, we could do it in the order that we're prepared or Mr. Preziosi is going to respond based upon how they raised their hand, I defer to the Board. But before we turn to our consultant team, there are four matters that I would like to briefly address, if I could share my screen.

MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah, Brad, you have full control.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Right. Thank you. So the first matter or issue is that, it looks like I froze. There I am, okay. So the applicant

2.3

continues to bring up CRHISD's claim that this proposed wellness center is not a hospital, and the applicant in its letter to the Board in March probably called that claim spurious no less than 15 times. And it's all from the implication that all of our claims are spurious and all of the claims and comments that we're bringing to the Board's attention now, on SEQRA and site plan and special permit all lack credibility. Why? All because the lower court disagreed and ruled that this facility is a hospital.

So look, our credibility has been questioned, so we felt compelled to bring to the Board's attention that just a couple of months ago, in October, OASAS, right, the agency that certifies these kinds of programs, opined in a guidance document, that these kinds of facilities that the applicant is proposing is emphatically not a hospital.

So clearly our claim isn't spurious.

Again, we get this is not to be litigated before your board, we felt compelled to raise it. This will be dealt with on appeal, an appeal I should

2.3

remind you all the applicant tried to knock

CRHISD out of. The town had no problem with

CRHISD appealing. But the applicant brought a

motion to dismiss. The court said no, CRHISD go

ahead and submit papers, and we'll be doing that

shortly.

So the point of all this is nothing the lower court did or decided has in any way undermined all this serious environmental and other concerns that we're bringing to the board's attention as part of this process.

Second, community character, we have provided a number of citations in our materials reminding the Board that community character, quality of life in a neighborhood, those are key considerations as part of any SEQRA analysis.

Some of the sites are up on your screen now and Caren LuBrutto, our planner will address this further during her presentation in a few minutes.

As far as the site visit goes, we urge the Board to keep in mind while you're walking the properties in the area, we are 80 zoning, the frontage on a state road requirement. We think

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

April 6, 2021

while you're walking the area, it will come to light why the town decided to enact that state road frontage requirement.

We also urge you to look at the pattern of development in the area. Look at how close the adjoining homes are to this site, as well as properties that are right across the street on this narrow roadway. Also visualize and think back to what the site and the area might have been like in the '20s and '30s and '40s, in the 1900s, right, when Dr. Lamb was operating the facility here, and think of all the farmland and how it contrasts with what you're going to see in just a few weeks. Alright, just because a hospital facility might have been acceptable planning wise a century ago does not make it acceptable and appropriate today, given how dramatically the area has changed and morphed over time to this highly established residential neighborhood.

Mr. Davis made reference to the environmental assessment report and is relying upon that primarily for the applicant's ask of

2.3

this board to issue a neg dec. We remain adamant that there are several misstatements and false representations in that document. We will document everything in writing. But there are two that we want to call the Board's attention to tonight, again as it pertains to the site visit.

The first one is on page 29, the applicant, and again in the SEQRA documents makes the statement that the area roadways, including Quaker Ridge, are not heavily utilized by bicycles and pedestrians. You will hear tonight testimony from folks who live right in this immediate area, right next to the site, that that is absolutely not the case, these roadways are enjoyed for all kinds of recreational purposes, joggers, runners, walkers, cyclists, both by folks who live in the area, as well as those who come to Teatown to visit on the weekend and enjoy this serene atmosphere.

There are hiking trails nearby, the

Croton Aqueduct trail is nearby and hopefully, if

there's good weather during the site visit in a

couple of weeks, your board will see it

firsthand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

The second comment that we want to bring to the Board's attention was a response in the applicant's March 23rd letter to the Board. We have taken the position repeatedly that locating a commercial hospital deep in a residential district on a local road is not supported by the town's zoning or planning documents.

The applicant responded that no one should be surprised that a hospital would be entrenched among residential homes. Again, why? Because the town had some special permit requirement allowing hospitals in residential districts. But this response omitted, and it's a material omission, was anything about the state road requirement. That's a key limiting factor. And as Caren will show in a few minutes, the state roads in Cortlandt, none of them run deep in a residential district. So the answer is no, no one would expect a hospital to be located in this kind of a residential district because the town enacted the state law requirement. And state roads don't run through residential neighborhoods

in the town.

2.3

about process and it came up in Mr. Davis's opening. He again made the comment that the applicant will respond in writing to all the comments. He mentioned the applicant typically will get the last word. And we get that. And at some point, the back and forth will come to an end. But we're very early in this process. And our ask of the Board is that you please keep the public hearing open long enough so that we the community have a chance to review those responses and comment on them.

The applicant, what the applicant is proposing is that the Board is going to keep this hearing open for a couple of sessions, close it and then respond in writing and that's the end of the dialogue. And we're asking the Board to not do that. That's not what your board typically, how the Board typically runs your processes. And if the applicant here is truly going to respond in writing to all the comments, there's going to be quite a bit of material information that's

2.3

submitted that we should be responded to and opining on, including where generators are going, information about lighting and a photometric plan. What is the use of each of these buildings, as well as a realistic and updated shuttle program. Those are all material elements of this application that the public ought to have a right to review and comment on as the process evolves.

And frankly, that's why we have pushed for an environmental impact statement, putting aside that we believe this project satisfies the low legal threshold, an EIS would bring a sense of formality and order to this process.

So that concludes my opening remarks.

Again, Mr. Preziosi and the Board, I would defer to you how you want to conduct the rest, but our consultants are ready and lined up to go in a certain order but I defer to you, with Mr.

Ferneris, the architect being the first speaker on behalf of the group.

MS. TAYLOR: I think you can present in the way that makes you feel comfortable.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Great. So, Michael, if

2.3

2 you could please promote Mr. Forneris.

MR. STEVEN FORNERIS: Okay. Here we go. Okay, good evening and thank you for taking your time this evening. And I'll keep, as Brad said, I'll keep my comments rather brief, because I've already submitted a document to you and got a chance to speak to you about the crux of what we were talking about last week. But I understand that you're going to be visiting the site in two weeks, so I just wanted to share a document that came along with my piece and give a chance to just review that, because I do appreciate that oftentimes drawings are a language of architects and sometimes easy to miss.

And really, the crux of the piece comes down to analyzing the size of the facility sited by the applicant and one quoted by the applicant, which was the Hazelton Betty Ford Clinic, similar, similar in terms of only eight beds apart for a size of clinic. And what the metrics of that site would be, and you can see on the left, it's about 1,372 square feet per bed. And that's a real typical metric.

2.3

We always look in different fields how many square feet per bed are you talking about for a facility. And sadly, it's always my first conversation with a client. When they look at a facility, it's usually well how much space do we have and what are you going to be able to fit.

So you're looking on the right of the proposed Hudson Ridge Wellness Center and the buildings have been grayed out to give you an idea. They're both similar sized pieces of land, about 20 acres each. And, but very different sized buildings.

So the next drawing in the piece here that's in your package shows you all the land blocked out and just given as the buildings in one and on the second, those buildings are superimposed on the Hudson Ridge site. So when you're out there, you can see the orange pieces are the Hudson Ridge existing buildings and the program necessary to get that similar type of facility that's being quoted by the applicant on the spot, onto that space.

So it's a big difference. It's something

2.3

that again, from an architect's perspective, we would say hey, you know, there's a big decision you've got to get to. This is not a ten percent mix, this is a threefold difference in terms of your space.

So I'll leave it at that, and I'm available of course for any questions if you have them, but want to be considerate of everyone's time and give the public a chance to speak.

MR. KESSLER: Steven, I have a question.

MR. FORNERIS: Sure.

MR. KESSLER: Is there a regulatory authority that opines on what the appropriate square footage should be for an institution such as this?

MR. FORNERIS: You know, the requirements are quite, in terms of regulatory requirements, they tend to be quite minimal, in terms of, you know, it's just what are the minimum requirements to get for a space.

Therefore, there are minimum requirements. The issue here is that everything being sited within this is of a facility significantly larger than

2.3

what they're talking about in terms of -- these are the facilities that are being referenced. And without a set of plans, you look at those facilities being referenced and said okay, based on that facility, what type of building spaces, what's the staffing and that'll give an idea of what the impact on the site would be.

MR. KESSLER: Are there other facilities that you feel are adequate facilities or good facilities that operate at 419 square feet per bed?

MR. FORNERIS: No. That's a very small number. You know, within, I mean just to give you, just to rattle off some square footage values, your regular, just even going general health care community hospital, that's about 1,770 square feet per bed. A teaching hospital gets up to 2,400 square feet per bed.

Our firm is doing hospitals in India and China at about 90 square meters per bed, so that's about 968 square feet per bed.

MR. KESSLER: Are these very specialty hospitals or just general hospitals?

2.3

MR. FORNERIS: Specialty and general. So it's a small number. It's a type of number that when I was discussing this with my partners, the first reaction was that's not possible, it's just not possible. And this was a conversation I'd actually had with Brad Perkins, our founding partner. He said how do you get that in that amount of space. And that's for not just this type of specialty hospital, senior living, acute care. It's just, it's way outside the general look, which is like I said, from an architect's perspective, I'd be raising up a flag and saying hey you really have to revisit this, because facilities don't operate at this scale.

MR. SCHWARTZ: And the best evidence we have of that, Steven is that the other facilities that the applicant has sited, their metrics are far off, right. They're operating in much smaller facilities.

MR. FORNERIS: Yeah, that's, I mean the other metrics, I gleaned over the other metrics that the applicants that have come through.

They're all over a 1,000 square feet per bed. So

1	April 6, 2021
2	it just gives you an idea of the amount of space.
3	MR. KESSLER: And so, do I infer that
4	instead of 92 people, if it was 46 people, you
5	would be comfortable with that square footage?
6	MR. FORNERIS: Yeah, you would have to
7	go down significantly in terms of the number of
8	people or make the piece go up. The critical part
9	there is now you get into the other magic numbers
10	of any development of healthcare, which is that
11	you need a certain it's like an airplane a
12	certain number of seats sold to take off. And
13	that's again, at that lower level.
14	MR. KESSLER: That's the economics. I
15	understand that.
16	MR. FORNERIS: Yeah. And it's like doing
17	a ten room hotel.
18	MR. KESSLER: I understand.
19	MR. FORNERIS: Hilton is not going to go
20	after it.
21	MR. KESSLER: Thank you.
22	MR. FORNERIS: Sure.
23	MS. TAYLOR: You have completed your
24	presentation?

2.3

MR. FORNERIS: Yes, yep, yep, all done.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you. I guess,

MR. SCHWARTZ: Madam Chair, if Mr. Preziosi could please promote Mr. Adler next. Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: No problem. If he could just raise his hand, I'll search for him on the list. I just lost him. He should be all set.

MR. BERNARD ADLER: Alright. Good evening, members of the planning board. It's a pleasure to be back before you, just to recount for the record, my name is Bernard Adler. I am a licensed professional engineer in the State of New York. I have been practicing traffic engineering now for over 50 years, and I'm the former commissioner of traffic for the city of White Plains. I will leave you to read the rest of my credentials as contained in my piece that was delivered on March 28th.

I just wanted to address a few comments, because I also understood in the interest of brevity that I'd like you to just to

2.3

concentrate on some of these comments as you do your site visit on the 18th.

The first issue is roadway width. While it has been expressly stated that the roadway width of Quaker Ridge is 20 feet, I was out at the site and before and after the site, I measured the roadway width, and I found that it was almost 18-and-a-half feet at most, at most locations. I recommended that there be additional verification of that, but what was important is I wanted you as the members of the planning board to look at the site with respect to the roadway and to get a feel for the activity that is being proposed on Quaker Ridge.

The next comment and this is will be my last for the evening, has to do with emergency services and specifically access and circulation for fire vehicle, especially the fire vehicle that the town has that the fire department of Croton-on-Hudson has been using a 47 foot power ladder, an articulated vehicle will traverse the site, which is linear, as compared to some of the other sites that you've seen, the Betty Ford

1 April 6, 2021 2 specifically. And access as well as articulation, the return trip that is impeded by necessity to 3 4 make a K turn for that fire vehicle to be able to 5 return to Quaker Ridge. Those are the two comments that I was 6 7 concerned about that I think would be viewed by 8 the members of the Board and I wanted you to look 9 at those with respect to the activity that is 10 being proposed, during the site visit. And that's 11 all I really wanted to say tonight. 12 MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Thank you very 13 much. 14 MR. SCHWARTZ: Mr. Larkin next please. 15 Thank you, Bernie. 16 MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. Mr. Larkin has been 17 promoted to panelist. 18 MR. EDWARD LARKIN: Good evening. For 19 the record, I'm a senior director of building 20 systems at the Chazen Companies. I'm a 21 professional engineer in the State of New York. 22 I'm also a New York State certified code 2.3 enforcement official. In my role, I manage our building code and life safety and services group.

2.3

And we work for over a dozen municipalities
across New York State, helping consult on
building code and regulatory compliance, as well
as represent private sector clients.

Our role on this project started back when the evaluation of an I1 versus I2 took place, which is a very code specific comment and we've continued to work with the CHRISD as the process has unfolded.

But a background on their building code enforcement and code compliance, our focus, and the focus of the document that I have submitted to you and that you will have an opportunity to review in detail, it is focused on the code aspects, which do come later in the process during the building permit.

But I think the overarching message of that document once you get a chance to read it is that this is a very unique project, it's a very challenging project and there is detail that is necessary at this time to accurately evaluate the SEQRA impacts and assess the site plan application.

2.3

Specifically, in responding to an applicant's statement and their documentation that this hospital, this facility, excuse me, functioned as a hospital back in the early 1900s, while that is applicable to the site plan process and zoning application, it is irrelevant as it pertains to the building code and construction impacts.

There was no recognized code for hospitals back in the 1920s. In fact, it wasn't until 1944, and the New York State building code didn't formalize until '54, and for 30 years, it was a voluntary code. So the amount of energy and effort in construction that's going to be necessary to bring this facility up to current compliance and current code is expensive and I just want to make sure that this applicant is able to provide sufficient detail and acknowledge those impacts to this body so that it can be assessed.

As you visit the site later this month,

I would ask you to focus on two things, one being
the emergency generators. Given the layout of the

2.3

site, right, not just the main building, right, which will be a large industrial model generator, but all the smaller outbuildings, think about generators, where they'd be located and the noise impacts and visual impacts as they run on a recurring basis as required by code.

And the second thing I would ask you to think about is the topography of the site. We talk about shielded light fixtures, darkness based light fixtures. They're great, they shield to 180 degrees to the ground. Think about the topography because as light fixtures are mounted on elevated areas, right, on hills, what does that do to the effectivity of that shielded light fixture and how will that impact the feel of the neighborhood.

So I would encourage you to consider those two when you're on site, and certainly, as you have a chance to review my report in more detail, I will be happy to comment again. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Edwin.

2.3

MS. TAYLOR: Michael, do we have anybody else? Is there anybody else --

MR. SCHWARTZ: Madam Chair, our next and final consultant is Caren LoBrutto, also from Chazen.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay.

MR. SCHWARTZ: This one is going to be a little bit longer, just because it's going to relate a lot to community character, state road, historic road and again, it's so pertinent to the site visit. So Ms. LoBrutto is going to spend a few minutes longer than Ed and Bernie on community character issues.

MS. CAREN LOBRUTTO: Good evening. Let me share my screen. Good evening. My name is Caren Lobrutto, I'm a senior planner with the Chazen Companies. I'm here tonight on behalf of CRHISD. I've been working as a planner since 2008, I have a master's degree in urban planning from Hunter College in New York City and I worked for AECOM and the New York City Economic Development Corporation prior to moving upstate.

I began working for the Chazen Companies

2.3

in 2015 and I work as a municipal planner and on private development projects with the majority of my work involving land use applications under municipal board review and state environmental quality review analysis.

Tonight, I will speak about the proposed specialty hospital redevelopment at the former Hudson Institute site. This presentation will describe the unique residential character of the area surrounding the project site and discuss the town's public policy on land use and zoning as it pertains to the site and the overall area.

In 2016, the town of Cortlandt adopted Envision Cortlandt, which contemplated the future of land use, of residential land uses and housing in the town and set policies to guide land use decisions. For Cortlandt's rural areas, the strategies recommended in part to preserve large lot residential uses within the R-80 zone and to incorporate walkability as a goal and to encourage neighborhood and community design that actively promotes pedestrian and multimodal connectivity.

2.3

The zoning for the site reflects this policy as it is R-80, minimum 80,000 square foot lot, or two-acre area. The area's walkability and connectivity to open space areas including Croton Aqueduct, Croton [unintelligible] [01:12:18], Teatown and trails is well demonstrated.

The use of the Quaker Ridge area for these purposes encourages community interaction and has positive health implications. In the 2016 plan, chapter three, key challenges and opportunity facing residential land use in housing areas in the town, included in part improving and enhancing neighborhood character and strengthening a sense of place and maintaining the rural residential character in the areas of the less developed portions of the town. Chapter seven describes what constitutes a sense of place and relates how community character is critical to making a community a desirable place to live.

This map shows state roads that are located north of the site. In 2004, the town recognized the importance of protecting

2.3

residential character and sought to reduce incompatible uses in residential zones.

Accordingly, a 2006 zoning text amendment requires that hospitals are located on state roads.

Note that the site is located in the southeast corner of this map and that there are no state roads in residential areas in this part of the town.

As you can see in the two photos, there has been a dramatic change over time from an area that was mostly farmland to an area that is predominantly rural residential development today.

The hospital was built in 1920 in an altogether different environment. The fact that the buildings remain does not make it suitable for a hospital today. The proposed specialty hospital use is an institutional use. In the town of Cortlandt, hospitals are allowed on state roads only.

So what does the town zoning say? It says that residential districts are intended to

2.0

2.3

be free from uses other than residential uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts.

For these reasons, institutional use proposed for residential districts require careful consideration because they can adversely change the character of the community. Change in character is experienced in ways that are not always measureable. it's how we feel about things too. More truck and vehicular traffic, lights used in an institutional setting, noise that is not residential in character and constant activity.

State roads are more suitable for institutional uses for reasons related to higher intensity uses, as in utilities, emergency access, convenience to related goods and services.

The applicant claims that there are other nonresidential commercial uses in the neighborhood. Let's stake a look. Rolling Stone Farm is an existing, closed to the public, horse farm. This use is consistent with the rural

2.3

residential character of the neighborhood. The Danish Home is closed. A future nursing home use at the site would require a special use permit, including consideration of the state road location requirement.

Let's talk about the neighborhood. This neighborhood is home to many families. This is a place to bike, walk, push a stroller, learn to ride a bike, catch great views and see friends. In 2007, Quaker Ridge Road was recommended for designation as a scenic road. If you look at the town's public policy from that time, it says to limit truck traffic on local roads and protect scenic roads to improve pedestrian safety. As you can see from the photo, there are multiple users of the road. And the car is preparing to travel in the opposite lane to safely pass the biker. Additional traffic will make the situation much more dangerous.

As you are probably aware, Quaker Ridge Road is used and enjoyed by area residents and visitors who descend upon greater Teatown to enjoy its scenery and natural setting, including

2.3

the nearby Aqueduct Trail. The Teatown trail map shows multiple open space resources in close proximity to Quaker Ridge Road.

The 2016 comprehensive plan includes as a goal, walkability and connections to open space. People are making these connections and using the resources available to them to do it. A change in the traffic will affect their use of this area, as it begins to feel more congested and less safe for pedestrians, cyclists, etc.

Again, the zoning ordinance states that residential districts are established in order to meet the housing needs of the present and future population of the town and the region and to promote the stability and desirability of residential neighborhoods.

For the residents located at 2022 Quaker Ridge Road, the proposed specialty hospital will have a dramatic affect on their everyday life as the nearest building is approximately 169 feet from the home. I am showing the access easement here too, to suggest that the uncertainty of this proposed use and the potential change in use of

2.3

this land from residential to institutional affects the stability of this neighborhood.

This is the view from 2022 Quaker Bridge Road. Imagine here an active specialty hospital with 92 beds. Remember that the town acknowledged the rural character and quality of life in 2004 when the parcel was rezoned from one dwelling unit per 5,000 square feet, to R-80, one dwelling unit per two acres.

Furthermore, the town acknowledged that the rural character and quality of life in this area needed to be protected in 2006, when a zoning text amendment was passed requiring hospitals to be located on state roads.

There is no objective criteria for measuring community character. Assessments are subjective, but in this instance, there is concrete guidance provided in the town's comprehensive plan, zoning ordinance, the existing pattern of development and the protection of scenic and historic resources. The town recognizes that a specialty hospital in the R-80 zoning district could result in adverse

2.3

impacts to the neighborhood. Accordingly,

Cortlandt's zoning ordinance requires a special
use permit and a location on a state road for
hospitals. In the town's special permit standards
and conditions for hospitals, it states that the
purpose of this section is to allow for the
provision of hospital and nursing home facilities
and accessory buildings and uses, including
dwellings for staff members to serve the needs
for medical care of residents of the town and to
ensure that such facilities are provided in a
manner that is not disruptive to surrounding
property or the neighborhood.

The DEC citizens' guide to SEQR clearly states that citizens have an important role in the environmental review of proposed projects.

CRHISD is a group of residents and neighbors that are providing important information about community character and local resources that would be impacted by the proposed action. SEQR guidance says a moderate to large impact is when a project introduces a land use that is inconsistent, or in short, contrasts with

2.3

surrounding land uses, or if the project introduces odors, lights, noise or traffic to an area in a way that is different than currently exists.

For the proposed action to develop a specialty hospital on the site, the planning board is the lead agency responsible for conducting a single integrated environmental review of the proposed action. As the lead agency, the planning board is responsible for assessing the potential impacts of the entire action, including the requested variance by the applicant to allow a hospital on a road that is not a state road.

The 2006 text amendment adding the state road location requirement was meant to limit the siting of hospitals to the area and roads that are better suited for such uses. The hospital's special permit criteria were enacted specifically to ensure that such facilities are provided in a manner that is not disruptive to surround property or the neighborhood. The SEQRA review needs to address the potential adverse impacts of

2.3

2 granting a variance from this requirement.

In closing, I ask that you listen to the people that live here, walk here, ride their bikes here, and to abide by the town's zoning.

Keep hospitals where they belong, on state roads.

If the application fails to meet the special permit standards, denial should be considered.

Alternately, consider issuing a positive declaration on your SEQRA. This project meets the low threshold. The lead agency only needs to identify a single potential significant adverse impact, which could be potential for significant adverse impacts to community character.

An environmental impact statement or EIS process will formalize the review, providing an established, predictable process for applicant submissions, public review and comment, including by other agencies, in an orderly fashion and with clear timeframes. Thank you for your time.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Caren. Madam Chair and members of the board, just to, I just want to emphasize one of the points that Caren

2.3

April 6, 2021

made. And that is community character is defined in many ways. And here, it's defined by the R-80 zoning, the frontage on a state road special permit requirement, the special designation of the local historic scenic character of the road and the pattern of development in the area.

So it may not be your traditional impact area that you can measure or quantify, but those are all tools that boards use, planners use, to measure and study impacts to community character. So, Caren, thank you for bringing that up, I just wanted to emphasize that point for the Board. So that completes our consultant presentation. Mr. Preziosi, if you could please promote Bill Greenstein, who is the chair of CRHISD. There are four CRHISD speakers and then our presentation will be totally completed. Thank you, Michael.

MR. PREZIOSI: I don't see a Bill, but I do see a Jill Greenstein, so I'm sure that's the same.

MS. JILL GREENSTEIN: Okay. Good evening. My name is Jill Greenstein and with my husband, Joel Greenstein, reside at 83 Quaker

2.3

Hill Drive in Croton. I am the chairperson of Citizens for Responsible Hudson Institute Site Development. Before I begin remarks, I have to tell you. I am still shaking. I am horrified by the comment that the attorney read to us that he received. These hateful comments are nothing, they are not part of who we are, nor would anybody in our group, myself or anybody, ever make such a comment. Again, I am horrified.

I'm equally horrified that the attorney might imply that somebody from our group might do such a thing. This is just not the case. It is not what we stand for nor what we believe in. So I had to say that. It was very upsetting.

Now, let me go on. The Hudson Institute property is adjacent to the rear of my property. In fact, one of their buildings is six feet from my property line, which would never be allowed now with new construction. I invite you to visit during the site walk and seek how close it is.

And as Brad mentioned, we welcome anyone to attend the visit, including the applicant, as long as that offer is reciprocated.

2.3

Speaking of the applicant, I want to first say a few things about the attorney's March 23rd letter. He accused us of submitted a misleading photo. We hope the Board sees right through this. No matter how the applicant tries to slice and dice measurements and elevations, the fact is that our home and property are extremely close to the Hudson Wellness site and buildings, with direct views from our deck and windows.

And no, we did not clear a substantial number of trees, as the applicant wrongly says. In fact, we did not clear any trees. Quite the opposite, we have planted thousands of dollars worth of evergreen trees to aid screening.

I couldn't believe when I read in the attorney's letter that our alleged tree clearing was a self created hardship. This is not the truth. The applicant bought the property on speculation before getting any approvals, before talking to the town, OASAS, before talking to neighbors and six years after the town adopted the state road requirement for hospitals.

2.3

The applicant could have chosen a different site in town that adheres to the special permit requirements and fits in with other surrounding uses.

The attorney also made it seem like the main hospital building is far, far away in the distance, when it says that this building is, quote, on the other side of the property and adjoins the vacant parcel in Newcastle. The Board will see for itself during the site visit how all the buildings are centrally located on the site and toward my property line and other neighbors.

Again, the closest building, building number five, is a mere six feet away from our property line. We will, without question, be impacted by noise from generators and lighting.

It is also not even clear what this building will be used for. The 2019 site plan says group activities. The attorney says in his letter, office and meetings. And the 2018 OLA report says patient quarters and offices. Which is it? We cannot begin to understand how this building will truly affect us until we know for certain its

use.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Now, for some background about us. We have lived here for more than 21 years and prior to that, have lived in the Croton area since 1977. When we first started looking for a home, we were immediately drawn to the Croton community and eventually the Teatown area. When we first moved here, we knew the site was not being used, and also knew it was zoned for two acre residential. It was the bucolic nature, the quiet of the neighborhood, the wakeup call of the chirping birds, the wildlife, the friendliness of neighbors, the ability to in effect, commune with nature through our walks in the area, including the Croton Aqueduct Trail and Teatown and just all the roads in the area, often having to stop as a gaggle of geese walk and walk by or a turtle is crossing the road, and the need is to protect it from oncoming calls, or watching the turkeys scurrying along or the deer bounding over the road, my vegetable garden and the overall sense of quiet, peace and harmony and beauty.

With this as background, I have a number

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

of issues and concerns with the Hudson Ridge Wellness proposal. One, this proposal will harm our quality of life in our quiet, residential neighborhood. Everything I just described would be forever changed. All the new cars and truck trips alone would disturb our recreational use of Quaker Ridge Road for walking and wildlife sightings. I was shocked to read in the applicant's SEQRA report that the local roads have a low recreational use. You just saw some pictures. These roads are used all the time by residents for walking, biking, running, birding, you name it. And we also get visitors from down county who want to escape to the country for a few hours. We have wonderful hiking trails and roads and the old Croton Aqueduct Trail right nearby.

Our sense of belonging in the community would also be affected, knowing there is an institutional use immediately next door. There will be outbuildings and employee parking lots on the other side of my property line. Rather than backyard BBQs and impromptu gatherings, we will

2.3

be next to a commercial use that is secluded from the rest of the neighborhood. That's not what a residential community is all about.

Second, water is a critical issue, since wells provide the water for all residents in this area. According to New York State standards, a typical hospital would use 175 gallons per bed per day, plus for this proposed facility, about an additional 7,000 gallons per day for 86 hospital employees and food service. At that rate, the facility would use about 23,000 gallons of water per day, as much water as about 50 four-bedroom homes using 110 gallons per day per bedroom. This does not include water for irrigation or water used by visitors.

Can the local aquifer support that drawdown year after year? I am not confident that the well water issue has been resolved. On August 20, 2018, a well test was done. During that test, my well, along with my neighbor at 78 Quaker Hill Drive, were impacted. The neighbor on my other side, 81 Quaker Hill Drive, was not included in the test, although it could have been and should

have been.

2.3

Although the Hudson Ridge people reported little impact, my well, with only two of us living in the house at that time, showed a drop of 18 feet in just three days. I'm happy to share with you the scale sent to us by the Hudson Ridge people. What will happen when there are 92 residents and many employees working in the facility using water? Who will provide me, my neighbors and the surrounding community, which will eventually be impacted, with water? Is this something the applicant will do? Or are they intending to make it the town's responsibility?

It seems odd to me that the applicant insists there are no impacts, yet recommended to continue to monitor my well after construction.

It also seems odd to me that the applicant says it will come on to my property and dig my well deeper or perform other mitigation on my property. I thought applicants are required to propose projects that do not cause impacts to neighboring properties. I did not think they could rely on fixing their own problem on someone

2.3

else's property.

And by the way, how are we assured that the applicant would fulfill its promise? Would an escrow be set aside to cover the cost of a new well? But this issue is not just about one house, but about a community that uses water in respect to the [unintelligible] [01:31:00] through wells. I do not want the Teatown Croton community to begin to worry about loss of water.

With all of these concerns, we are asking DOH to revisit this issue and ask the planning board to wait on making any decisions until this very serious concern is resolved. One of the key issues that our consultant has highlighted for DOH is whether the applicant has grossly underestimated the water demand of this specialty hospital. This is separate from the magnitude of drawdown in my well, documented during the well test in 2018.

I am concerned that the project would need, under both dry conditions and during normal hospital functioning, a lot more water than what the applicant has represented. The applicant used

2.3

the assumption of 110 gallons per day per bed for its analysis. This is the standard used for nursing homes. The Hudson Ridge proposal is not a nursing home, but rather it is purporting to be a hospital. The applicant should use the hospital standard of 175 gallons per day per bed, or at the very least provide the actual water demand from other comparable specialty hospitals to eliminate the guesswork from a very serious issue about the project's water demand. We have asked DOH to carefully examine this issue.

As they gloss over the water issue, they seem to take that approach with any other legitimate concerns raised by the community.

Briefly, when concerns were raised about traffic on the non-state roads of Quaker Ridge Road,

Glendale, Allapartus, Quaker Bridge, etc., they proposed that employees would not be allowed to drive to the location, but rather would have to park at a predetermined spot. They mentioned FDR Park, and be brought by vans to the location.

Can anyone truly see this as a viable idea? There is a snow day, and an employee's

2.3

child's school has a two-hour delay, so they cannot make the van and have to drive themselves. There's traffic on the highway and an employee is late for the van. The roads are once again blocked by falling trees, snow, etc. The list can go on and on. And most importantly, how can their proposal of transportation by the van be verified and enforced?

I mentioned 81 Quaker Hill Drive, my neighbor. While talking about the property at 81 Quaker Hill Drive, which should have been included in the well testing, I don't believe that the planning board was aware of the connection between the applicant and the property until it was mentioned at the previous planning board meeting. At various times, over the past four years, the applicant, Kevin Cassidy, and a related LLC, Chrichton House Holdings, have paid the real estate taxes on this property.

Last August, Quaker Hill Drive, LLC granted an easement to the applicant. Recently, all three related entities, that is 81 Quaker Hill Drive, 2016 Quaker Ridge Road and 35 Quaker

2.3

Ridge Road were in arrears in real estate taxes.

This can be easily verified by looking at publicly available Town of Cortlandt records.

At one point, there had been a tax lien on the applicant's property at 2016 Quaker Ridge Road. The owners of 81 Quaker Hill Drive are clearly connected to the Hudson Wellness Center. I am concerned that 81 Quaker Hill Drive will be used as an annex for the applicant. I wonder what is the relationship between this home and the Hudson Wellness project?

Along with this easement we discovered, a path has been created from this site to the Hudson Wellness site. There is a pool at this home. Is the intention to allow residents at the project to swim here, or perhaps use it as an outpatient house? Might they want access to Quaker Hill Drive for an alternate means of entry or exist for employees, residents and/or equipment? Many questions about this. Will the planning board looking into the serpentine ownership of 81 Quaker Hill Drive and the easement?

2.3

I also find it curious that this property and the one to the south have been listed together for sale for 26.5 million. Now, I suppose any owner can always test the market. But this only adds to the mystery surrounding the application. As others have mentioned, the applicant has been very secretive about who the operator will be and it is strange that they have not had significant talks with OASAS. The property listing only raises further questions about what is really going on here.

I also encourage you, during the site visit, as has been mentioned, to consider where the commercial generators will be located and how they will affect us and other neighbors. How noisy will they be? Will they be screened? It seems like we always lose power during storms due to down wires and trees. This should not be overlooked. The same goes for lighting concerns. How will all the new lights affect neighbors and change the feel of our community?

I know Mr. Larkin submitted a report on these issues and I encourage you to please read

2.3

it before the site visit. There are just too many questions. The Board and the public do not have enough information. I do not see how the applicant can keep asking the Board to complete SEQR with all of these unanswered questions.

You are our guardians over this
beautiful resident community. How can we preserve
the character of our community? We are counting
on you, relying on all of you to maintain this
quiet, residential community and keep commercial
development out, as it says in the town's zoning.
It is just a small piece of the greater Cortlandt
community, but how sad it would be for everyone
if we lose this.

I want to thank the planning board for listening to me and taking a serious look into all of these concerns and problems a facility such as this on a non state road will create.

Again, thank you for all you do and I look forward to your site visit.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Madam Chair and Mr.

Preziosi, if you can please promote Mr. Michael

1	April 6, 2021
2	Shannon.
3	MR. PREZIOSI: I think we should go in
4	the order in which individuals raised their hand
5	now, at this point, Brad. Because there was a lot
6	of people on the call waiting to discuss and Mr.
7	Shannon did not raise his hand first. So if it's
8	possible, Loretta and the rest of the board, if I
9	can go through the order in which the individuals
10	raised their hands?
11	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. The only reason I
12	thought that it would be helpful if they had
13	actually planned for four speakers, I think
14	that's what he said, right?
15	MR. PREZIOSI: We are at the eighth
16	speaker now.
17	MR. SCHWARTZ: No, right. There were
18	four consultants and then four speakers that were
19	going to speak on behalf of the CRHISD group, so
20	four residents Michael.
21	MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. If that's okay,
22	then I'll promote Mr. Shannon.
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
24	MS. TAYLOR: Yes, please.

1	April 6, 2021
2	MR. PREZIOSI: Mr. Shannon, if you can
3	just unmute yourself, then you can speak.
4	MR. MICHAEL SHANNON: Can you hear me
5	now?
6	MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah, you came I slightly
7	broken up. If you can just state your name and
8	your address for the record.
9	MR. SHANNON: My name is Michael
10	Shannon. I live at 2022 Quaker Ridge Road.
11	MR. PREZIOSI: Mr. Shannon, if you have
12	any other speakers or headset on, if you can
13	remove that and start from the beginning.
14	MR. SHANNON: I do not have anything
15	else on. My name is Michael Shannon. I live at
16	2022 Quaker Ridge Road. Did that come through
17	okay?
18	[CROSSTALK]
19	MR. PREZIOSI: I'm going to suggest that
20	we mute Mr. Shannon and promote the next speaker
21	and then go back to Mr. Shannon.
22	[CROSSTALK]
23	MR. PREZIOSI: I'm sorry, Mr. Shannon,
24	you're coming in extremely broken up. Brad, if

1	April 6, 2021
2	you can indicate the name of the next speaker.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Please.
4	MR. SCHWARTZ: Steven Hampton, please.
5	MR. PREZIOSI: Mr. Hampton, if you can
6	raise your hand. Okay, Mr. Hampton, you've been
7	promoted. Just unmute your speaker. Mr. Hampton,
8	you've been promoted to speaker, if you can just
9	use the unmute tool on the Zoom screen, bottom
10	left corner. Okay. Mr. Hampton, if you're there,
11	we'll give you another minute.
12	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Michael, can you
13	unmute him from your end?
14	MR. PREZIOSI: I can only ask him to
15	unmute. It should pop up. Brad, if you
16	MR. SCHWARTZ: Michael Arkin is next.
17	MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. So we'll put Mr.
18	Hampton back on mute, and you said it was Michael
19	Larkin?
20	MR. SCHWARTZ: Arkin, A-R-K-I-N.
21	MR. PREZIOSI: Arkin, okay. Okay. Mr.
22	Arkin, you are promoted to speaker.
23	MR. MICHAEL ARKIN: Hi. Can everybody
24	hear me?

2.3

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, go ahead.

MR. ARKIN: Yes.

MR. PREZIOSI: Just state your name and address for the record.

MR. ARKIN: Yeah, my name is Michael
Arkin. I live at 2007 Quaker Ridge Road. I am a
member of CRHISD, I lived directly across the
street from the site that we're all speaking of.
I don't have something as eloquent as Jill
prepared. She covered a lot of the topics so I'm
going to keep it short, as the Board will be
thankful for.

The area we live in, as you will see when you come out here, a beautiful area. The street is used more than a typical residential street is used. There are bike and running groups that use it from all over Westchester. When we have company, it's always astonishing to folks how many bike tours go through the neighborhood. It feels like the Tour de France. I'm not exaggerating. COVID has put a little bit of a damper on the groups. It's been more individuals, as you saw in some of the pictures, but when you

2.3

come out here, I'm pretty sure you'll probably see a tremendous amount of folks using the street.

My kids have always used it. We have, some of them have best friends down the street. They've ridden their bikes their whole lives. They're teenagers now. They learned to ride their bikes on this street. There's an older gentleman that we see every single day throughout the pandemic. You know, we've named him -- I don't know what his name is, but he walks at least two times a day. He's probably in his early eighties. So it's not -- it's beyond the typical residential road as far as the use it gets. I have friends coming from the village. They know where I live because I'm on their bike route.

So it's truly an area that exists beyond the folks that live here. Partially also because of the trails, I mean the reason I moved here was many of the reasons that Jill spoke to, but I don't know if you've frequented Teatown and hiking trails that are there, or the Aqueduct Trail, but I can walk from my house to these, you

1

April 6, 2021

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

know, loops that are a mile, mile-mile-and-a-half loops and a tremendous amount of folks come up here to use that, to use these trails. So it's truly a part of our community.

Given the nature of these roads, Jill also touched on the storms. Just to go in a little bit more depth, and there's some pictures that I think Brad has of, that I've taken throughout the years. You know, these storms are pretty crazy. I mean we've had, we've lost power for two weeks at a time. And power here when you're on wells isn't just lighting and Wi-Fi, it's water. So you don't have toilets, you don't have sinks. You just, you don't have water.

That would affect the facility, I'm sure with generators. My neighbor has a generator. It's incredibly loud. So I can't imagine what this facility would provide. These truck right here, were these Canadian company who came down on one of or two week power losses to help Con Ed, because Con Ed just couldn't handle the situation. This is directly in front of the site, on the final night of fixing one of the towers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

April 6, 2021

But this happens frequently. Christmas Day this past year, we had no power. I woke up, no power, came on about 9:00 o'clock at night.

The road blockage from the trees, there's times when I can't leave my home. There's been many times where I can't get to my home, because it's just Quaker Ridge, so if there's a tree down by the little bridge and there's a tree down, which you'll see on your visit, or a tree down by my house, I can't get out at all. These are all on Quaker Ridge. Two of them were right here, in front of my home, three of them actually of these pictures were right in front of my home. So, you know, it's always been astonishing to me that there's an idea of having a facility of this six, a 24/7 facility of this size in the area that I've struggled to live in from an environmental perspective and the storms that occur here.

So, most of everything else that I had to say, Jill covered. I think you guys get the point. The last thing I'll say is, you know, given the record of this applicant and the lack

2.3

of a realistic plan, you know, our board and the community, we just can't understand what the real environmental impacts would actually be. Nor I think can we expect the applicant to stick to any of the accommodations and assurances that they've been giving us throughout the year, as they've shape shifted quite frequently as to what they're going to do and not do. As Jill mentioned the shuttle vans, and things that just seem absurd after a certain point.

So, you know, I'm hoping that you guys see some of this when you come out to the site plan and recognize 6that this isn't about some of the things that they want you to think this is about. This is about the things that we're bringing up. So, I welcome you to my home when you come and thank you for listening. I appreciate it.

MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Thank you very much.

MR. SCHWARTZ: I know that Mr. Shannon existed and rejoined, Michael, if you want to try him again.

2.3

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. If Mr. Shannon is on, if he could just use the raise your hand function. I don't see his name on the list. Oh, there you go, thank you. Okay, Mr. Shannon, you've been promoted to panelist. Mr. Shannon, if you can use the unmute button on the bottom left of your screen. Mr. Shannon, it looks like you are muting and unmuting yourself. Just hit the button once and you should unmute.

MR. SHANNON: Do you have me now?

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes.

MR. SHANNON: Okay. I don't see a video, but I apologize for the technical glitches and let me start by thanking you all very much. I understand that you have agreed to come for a site visit of the applicant and the adjoining properties, which is what I was asking for when we ended last time.

I think seeing the properties and seeing the perspective that we have will add immensely to the facts that you are considering. One of the consultants earlier said that my house was 169 feet from the applicant's building. I think that

2.3

was sort of a diagonal. We measured it at 139. Their building number two and number five are just on the other side of our fence, and that's proposed to be their parking and garage areas. They are proposing 12-foot lights, not counting the headlights in that area. So we appreciate that you will be coming here and walk the properties and get your own perspective.

I'm concerned about many issues. I'm concerned about wells, I'm concerned about traffic, I'm concerned about noise. On wells, I know we had the studies in the prior years in one of the rainiest years in our history. And when those studies were completed, I asked one of the consultants to the planning board what happens if the opinion that it's okay doesn't work out. He said well, you'll probably have to drill another well.

I'm hoping that you ultimately reach the decision that is a permanent one that doesn't leave people regretting what floodgates they've opened up. I'm concerned about traffic. I've heard other speakers talk about Quaker Ridge

2.3

Road. If you come on a weekend, when the weather is nice, or sometimes even during the winter, and you go down the end of Quaker Ridge Road, you'll see a triangle there. There's a fork in the road. Almost every weekend, both Saturday and Sunday, you'll see cases of water left there for the runners and the bikers. I don't know who puts them there, but they get a lot of use. There's a lot of biking traffic and pedestrian traffic on this road.

We talk about a change in noise levels. My wife and I have been enjoying part of this pandemic having our morning coffee sitting outside early in the morning. We can hear the Metro North train every faintly. You hear the whistle. It sounds pleasant. In the summertime, we can hear a mile away when the children are playing at the nearby ball field, you know when there's a home run.

My adjoining neighbor on the other side, several years ago, with the Board's permission, put in a generator. We talk about when we lose power. His generator is loud. I understand the

2.3

need for it. I'm concerned that when we talk about a generator or generators for facilities that are planned next door with Hudson Wellness, there'll be a lot more noise.

But let me talk tonight briefly about a few other points. The residential character of the site, where exactly it is, how close it really is to the facility and the impact it'll have on us, secondly parking and vehicle movement, lighting, fourth, the state road requirement, five, promises of mitigation that give us real concern.

Now, if you pull up my first slide,

Brad, this is Cortlandt and if you go to the very

bottom of it, you'll see what we're talking about

here. I've circled the site. I can throw a rock

out of Cortlandt and into Ossining, we're that

close. The road changes, the texture of the road

changes just between the properties that are

owned by the applicant now, the property that

they plan to keep dormant and the applicant's

property that they operate on.

Going to the next slide, you see the

2.3

border at the blue line being the northern side of the applicant's property, and then you have the four adjoining residential homes with one at the left hand side being mine.

Again, the only thing separating us is a white fence. And in fact, when we talk about how close we are, an actual detailed survey shows that there are about two inches encroaching on my property in one of their buildings.

This is a, just a schematic of the four properties that adjoin this fence, and the next slide is an aerial of the properties. The house - - to the left, you see Quaker Ridge Road, and the house with the X on it and hand written notation is my house. Directly below it is one of the hoses that they're planning as a garage and if you move the hand to the left, you get to the second area they plan as a garage with parking in between the two of them.

In terms of proximity, the next slide shows you what I see when I stand on my deck. I have a sunroom and an upper deck. This is what I look at. So the idea that the main building is

2.3

far removed is not depicting an accurate picture. The next slide shows the view from the fence of our properties. And the next slide, excuses me, shows me that on the jet span and I can get very close to the building that they're talking about.

So when you consider things such as the lighting plans, their parking plans, I ask that you really think about these when you walk around on your site visit and it's not based solely on the whole site plans and the sketches, but you put yourselves in my shoes, you put yourselves in my neighbor's shoes and you ask yourself how are things going to change? Will we be hearing all of the trucks, all of the car traffic, all of the van traffic? Will we be hearing the deliveries coming and going? Will 12-foot lights be entirely blocked by a six-foot fence? I think not.

Turning to parking, their plans indicate right now 52 parking spots for employees, 13 for visitors, 64 available, a total of 129. Here is their site plan on the next slide. You can see that in it, the areas that are shaded are where they're planning the parking. And to the tope

2.3

left, you see building number two, and then over further to the right, you see building number five. That's a lot of cars coming in with their headlights facing my house.

The slide nine gives you a better sense of this again. The parking areas I've circled according to their site plans, and you can see that they are right up next to the fence.

Now, there was comments made earlier about land being cleared. I suspect the accusation was addressed to my wife and I, because last summer, we did have some trees taken down. In the last couple of storms, we've lost a number of trees, one that took out our fence. And we've had them taken down, so this past summer, we removed a couple of dead trees and some vines that were growing on everything. I don't want the trees that are dead crashing through the house. And I think that we cannot be criticized for taking town these dangerous dead trees.

Let me talk about lighting for a second. Slide 10 shows their lighting plan. And they acknowledge that they are planning over 50

2.3

lights, some of them four feet tall, some of them 12 feet tall. That's a lot of lighting. The red areas are supposed to represent, in their view, the illuminated area. What I find remarkable is that if you look to the lower right hand corner, you see that their 12-foot light stops dead at the fence. I don't think that's realistic. I think that what we're talking about here is a real stadium effect.

Now, in their submissions, they say, well it's going to be lights out at 10:30. I think that refers to the residents' rooms. I don't think they're talking that the lighting for the parking for the people coming there is going to be shut off. I think I'm looking at a stadium next door 24 hours a day.

The next slide shows you a little bit more closely the larger lighting areas and the bottom line, the horizontal line there is the fences that separates us. So we're looking at four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, of the smaller lights, two of the larger lights within a couple of feet of our property.

2.3

And what type of lights are we talking about? They gave us specifics. Slide 12 shows what they call the luminaire light, or the 12-foot light, and it is -- they also in the schematic that follows show us that it is indeed 12 feet high, on slide 13. And then we get the information about the four foot lights on slide 14, and on slide 15, we get the information about the wattage. And I admit, I had to do some research to find out what we were talking about in terms of the wattage.

The larger lights are 12,476 lumens each, for actually 13 of them. The smaller bollard lights are 2,546 lumens for the 40 of those. Together, we're talking about 264,028 lumens. A 100-watt bulb is 1,600 lumens. So we're talking about them putting up right next door, the equivalent of 165 100-watt bulbs.

Putting aside the question of what type of facility may ultimately operate there someday, I would like to think that if I went to the other side of my property and tried to string 165 lights that the town would stand up for my

1

April 6, 2021

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

neighbor and say no, you can't do that on residential property. That's intrusive, it's inappropriate.

Here, they're really can be no doubt that there would be a detrimental impact from the lighting and from the parking alone. It has nothing to do with whether this is a drug rehab facility or some other type of facility. It has to do with the impact of the lighting, the impact of the cars and trucks, the impact of the noise.

Now to talk for a moment for the state road requirement, it makes a lot of sense when you come and visit Quaker Ridge Road. You will see that it is narrow. You will see, if you drive up from Route 9, which I recommend you do, that many people in the Ossining area not far away don't have garages and they park half onto the street, for at least for their overnight parking, on both sides. You can't stay in your lane completely.

As you get closer to 2016 Quaker Ridge, the road is very narrow. There is not much room when there is two-way traffic. And fortunately,

2.3

there is not much two-way traffic. The troublesome times are when the school buses are coming and going, when sanitation trucks are coming and going, you have to stop. It's not set up for considerable vehicle traffic.

Now, when we go to the zoning code, the proposed hospital cannot satisfy the special permit locational requirement, which says that it only be permitted in a residential zone which fronts a state road. Quaker Ridge Road is a narrow country road.

And as it was mentioned earlier by another speaker, in 2004, before the applicant started this whole process, the town focused on the situation and in an affidavit submitted by a town supervisor in 2017, she addressed that in the change in 2004, slide 16A, in particular, she said one of the principle concerns underlying the 2004 zoning amendment was the preservation of the town's residential character and the reduction of incompatible, non-residential uses within residential areas. Our reading is that this provision alone should stop the applicant from

proceeding.

2.3

A lot of what has happened in the last couple of years, a lot of the comments that have been submitted have been responded to by the applicant with promises, promises of how it would mitigate, how it would not do the things that the residents feared would happen. It clearly is not up to individuals to do as they please, it's up to the town planning board to see that the whole community is benefited by what is going on.

We believe that we residents have been pretty open with you. We want you to come and visit. We don't believe that the applicant has been candid with you. When my wife and I moved here in 2004, we checked to see what the zoning was, and we saw that it was zoned residential, and on that basis, we moved in.

The applicant complains, at time, that this public hearing process has been going on since 2015 or so. What the applicant has been doing, and this does go to the question of operation, has been going on longer than that.

If you go to slide 17, you see that the

2.3

original purchase on this timeline that was submitted previously, shows that the property was purchased in 2010. Going to the next slide, slide 18, it was purchased by L&G in 2010, it was sold to Hudson Ridge in 2012. Go to slide 19, who was L&G? L&G, the managing member, according to the deed, signed at the bottom, was Kevin Cassidy.

I recognize that the issue is not who is the applicant. But the real issue here is can we rely upon the promises of mitigation, can we rely upon it being operated in accordance with any conditions you may require when we don't even know the operator.

Earlier, one of the board members was asking questions about an applicant who had not complied with certain conditions and what were the ramifications of that There were 27 conditions or promises put forth by the applicant in one piece of correspondence to the board. I don't know if they're allowed to proceed whether I will run out of water, I don't know whether they will put up more 14, 15-foot lights. This is a decision of changing the character of our

2.3

residential area, which is really in your hands. It shouldn't be for us neighbors, who came here for a residential community, hoping that perhaps with the town's code of enforcement, we can make them meet those conditions. They're not entitled to have what they're looking for.

Going to the next slide, the man behind the 2010 acquisition of the property, has a string of fraud convictions, all financial frauds. Tax evasion, wire fraud, he served time in prison, credit card fraud, a much larger fraud brought by the CFTC and in 2011, a securities fraud. The way I look at the schedule, I believe this process of acquiring this land for this purpose was started while he was in jail.

Now, what else is going on that makes us wonder. Ms. Greenstein mentioned concerns on the next slide, about 81 Quaker Hill Drive. That property was indirectly acquired by an affiliate of the applicant. It suddenly had an easement on it. There were, at prior public hearings, denials about involvement with that property. Cassidy's name, again shows up in the LLCs involving that

2.3

April 6, 2021

property. What is going on with the real intent behind 2016? One of the things I would love to see and I believe you can ask, is if any investors were given materials about the plans for 2016? There have been stories flying about what they intend to do with the pool, the tennis court, or whatever. One must wonder though, when the operator has not been identified, what is really going on here.

If you look at the next slide, it's very it. They bought the property for I believe one or two million dollars in 2010, 2012, and then they put it on the market for 23.5 million in 2016.

And then when it didn't sell, they raised the price to 26.5. Now that's curious that one puts property on, it doesn't sell, so you raise the price.

The next series of slides, 23, 24, 25, 26, are a series of LLCs that get difficult to connect the various dots that have Mr. Cassidy's fingerprints all over them, and the properties acquiring both the land next door, acquiring the property on Quaker Hill. If you note, all of

2.3

these addresses are the same, 72 North State

Road, where Mr. Cassidy apparently receives his

business mail.

What are their real plans for this property? Go to the next slide. Slide 27, that talks about a health, beauty and fitness support and vocational services hospital, medical services and dental services center. You go to that website, you think this property is already operating.

I'm asking that when you come by, that you ask yourselves might there be problems with water, might there be problems with traffic, does this really have a potential for change in the environment, can we rely on the promises that we have been hearing from LLCs whose members are not fully disclosed to us, can we, should we be concerned about the inconsistencies.

If we do grant it, what is the magnitude of the escrow we should require so that the conditions of any grant are met? Are they just seeking a special permit to flip it for \$26 million? Will everything run with the land? This

2.3

is not about doing good for people who are suffering from substance abuse. This is an enterprise being pursued by an individual with multiple convictions for monetary frauds. It's a for profit venture.

This is the, if you turn to slide 29, this is the area now. This is my back yard right now. I like the animals that come around. I give them names, I don't chase them away, if you show them the next two slides. And finally, at the end, looking out the front of my house, in the evening, you'll see what I think captures the idyllic residential nature of where I live.

MR. KESSLER: Can we just do a time check here please? I mean it's been now and hour, 45 minutes, and the homeowners group has spoken, yet the independents have not yet spoken?

MR. SHANNON: I'm ending right now.

MR. KESSLER: I appreciate that, thank you.

MR. SHANNON: Okay. I just refer you to Section 307.42, which does ask you to consider the intensity of operation in both subdivisions

	Daga 10		
1	Page April 6, 2021		
2	one and subdivision four. So all of those		
3	provisions talk about the intensity and I'm		
4	asking that when you proceed, you do make		
5	findings that address all of the points that we		
6	have been raising, because the impact of your		
7	decision will either preserve our residential		
8	community or permanently change it for the worse.		
9	And I thank you and I apologize for being so		
10	long.		
11	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. There was one		
12	other person. I don't know whether he still wants		
13	to speak, Steven Hampton.		
14	MR. SCHWARTZ: Madam Chair, we're happy		
15	to have Mr. Hampton speak at the special meeting,		
16	so that way we can turn to the independents as		
17	Mr. Kessler referred to them.		
18	MS. TAYLOR: Okay, very good.		
19	MR. KESSLER: Thank you.		
20	MS. TAYLOR: Mike, are there speakers?		
21	MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, I have, it's		
22	approximately, it's 9:17 p.m. I think the Board		
23	was going to go to 10:00 with this public		

hearing, so we have 13 other speakers that have

24

2.3

requested an opportunity to speak. I'm going to promote the first person, Jamie Black.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay.

MR. PREZIOSI: Please use the unmute function or you can use [unintelligible]
[02:17:06] on your keyboard to unmute.

MS. JAMIE BLACK: Thank you. Okay. Am I unmated now?

MR. KESSLER: Yes.

MS. BLACK: Yes, nice, good evening everyone. Thank you for taking the time to host all of us and listen to our concerns about the applicant that's before you. You know, the applicant talks about this being sort of a long and arduous process, but I've owned my house at 35 Quaker Bridge Road for over 25 years, and we're very dependent on Cortlandt and the planning board members for the decisions that you make, in that we're the town outside. We are the abutting municipality. We are the densely populated residential neighborhood that has a five-ton weight limit on Quaker Bridge Road in order to support the needs and the safety of our

2 community.

2.3

It's been established, because we've had so many incidents of people being run off the road, people having sort of near accidents on the road because we do have on-street parking in a very small basically what was an old carriage road. And then additionally, we have the S turn. The S turn is an area that has come to the sort of vehicle challenged to many people and unfortunately, Croton lost one of their pumper trucks in that same turn. It turned over, it went down the hill. Additionally, that area is very important for us, because it's where our pump station is for our sewer as well.

And in terms of the arduous process here, as people in Cortlandt will recognize, we've been experiencing the challenges of development on our municipal border, at this point, for 30 years. If you do recall, on the property that was diagonally located across from the proposed site of the wellness facility, you had a resident who owned 104 acres who wanted to increase the density of his property by

2.3

establishing multiple houses on that property.

And what Cortlandt did was, you know, mandatory, evaluate the site, evaluate the requirements to be able to support multiple houses and Cortlandt came back to the applicant. Time and time again, over a ten year period, with the applicant constantly threatening to sue Cortlandt and said look, you don't have municipal water, you don't have municipal sewer, you have the watershed right below you. You don't have access, you don't have egress, you don't have what you require in order for us to approve what you're asking for.

So they finally denied it. And then in finally denying it, it turned into Rolling Stone Farm, a single family residence, 140 acres open space.

So here we go, yet again, we're in a situation where we're dependent on the team in Cortlandt to make best decisions on our behalf.

And one of the critical things that the elephant in the room here is that Newcastle also has a weight limit on their road. The Quaker Bridge has

2.3

a weight limit on that. The passage over the reservoir has been stopped because of concern over terrorism. You literally cannot get a truck from our surrounding areas to that property with any type of efficiency or any type of success.

Additionally, we're in a situation where what you don't recognize in Cortlandt that's absolutely critical for us is that we were established in order to basically be a cash cow for the sewer system as well as a lot of the services that support the village. The school, the police, the fire department, senior services, so on and so forth. Our highest value neighborhood is 900 feet from where this person is applying, these investors are applying to create a drug rehabilitation facility.

Studies have been conducted. It is documented that there's a 17 percent reduction in the property value of the homes that are located within proximity to these facilities. So you're in a situation where this is our most valuable, you take a look at 96 homes that are in the donut surrounding this property. We have an assessed

2.3

property value of \$16 million. We are the greatest concentrated value in all of the town outside. And our money is so needed. And thanks to the pandemic, our money is now needed more. We help support the village of Ossining.

No I just find it to be absolutely brain numbing that we went down this path with 99

Quaker Bridge Road. We're in a situation now where we have the most valued properties in our area, potentially having a physical impact and a financial impact for the lifetime of our community. We're looking to potentially lose \$10 million in appraised property value every single year. We, as a community, literally cannot afford this to happen.

And additionally, these same studies say even if the facility changes, you know, it becomes a mansion again. They call it a scarring effect. They say that the scarring effect of that type of facility on a community lasts well beyond its elimination.

So we're in that situation where we have this applicant that nobody really knows or

2.3

understands. The neighboring property was
acquired by somebody whose house burned down in
Croton because he had an illegal pot growing
operation. He went in front of the team at
Newcastle, their planning board and said I want
to build a house, I want to build it rectangular,
I want to build it out of cement, and I want it
to not have any windows. And they said guess
what, we don't want you to do any of this.

So we're in a situation where our roads have weight limits on them, our roads have signage on them. Everything we've done as a community to try to protect the health and safety of the people who live here and the financial health of our municipality, our ability to subsidize and support our seniors and our people in our community who need a helping hand and here we have some investors who say that they want to do something that would potentially help people who are in a state of, you know, sort of distress because of addiction and we're the community that is financially responsible for helping states of distress for people who are low and in some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

instances, no income in the village.

So you can't have it both ways. You know, so that's where we have these challenges when we have these border applicants where you have one set of conditions where it's very sort of residential and rural. Right on the other side of the property line, we have one of our most valuable, densest physical developments.

So we're talking about 96 residents in the surrounding area, in the town outside, who have lived in this established, bucolic community that has been in existence for over 60 years now being in a situation where we're being asked to, you know, just hold our breath while this appellant who nobody really even knows who they are is trying to leverage a past physical use for what was a mansion, which was one of the hunting lodges. And when you drive around the neighborhood, you'll see, our area ended up being the home, because it was so bucolic, to a number of very significant, quote unquote hunting lodges. Rolling Stone Farm is one of the hunting lodges. Michael on 134, his property was one of

2.3

the hunting lodge. There's another hunting lodge on the corner of Spring Valley Road and Allapartus. That's why these houses came into existence in the first place, is for people from the city to be able to get away and to be able to experience the country.

So now, we're in a situation where an applicant is before you, not on a state road, trying to have a facility established, creating kind of a completely synthetic value for their property, so then that way they can shove it down your throat through a bunch of attorneys and say we're going to sue for this, this and this because we've determined that this property is of this value and now you're not letting us use it that way.

So we went through this whole conversation with the applicant at 99 Quaker Bridge Road. We're now listening to echoes of it here and everybody is talking about, you know, trying to preserve our neighborhood. We're trying to preserve the financial stability of the village of Ossining. We're trying to preserve the

2.3

April 6, 2021

investment.

safety of everybody who basically lives on what
was a carriage road. We're trying to preserve our
property values, because on the other side of
this border here, it is our most valuable

So for the people in Cortlandt who might have this as something that's important to them for their emotional wellbeing and their physical enjoyment, everybody that's just a hundred feet away, these are our most valuable assets that we have in our life portfolio.

on the same rational logic hat that you used when the applicant was basically down your throat for 99 Quaker Bridge Road with all the ridiculousness in terms of the traffic study, and you know, it won't, the water won't run downhill and don't worry, you know. So sanity has to eventually rule and Cortlandt is always what we've looked to as the gold standard for reasonable, rational members of planning boards and zoning board to have the ultimate sanity check when an applicant is there with attorneys and threats with things

1

April 6, 2021

2

that are not consistent or acceptable within a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

surrounding neighborhood.

So, for everybody from town of Ossining, which is a breadth away, we're asking you to do what you guys do best and that is be wise and respectful of what the community needs are and support the residents who are fully established in the area who would be incredibly compromised by a group of investors with these grand ideas who have found a little area of opportunity that they can manipulate and exploit at the cost of, in our instance, 96 other home owners who have this as their most valuable asset.

So thank you very much and we need your continued wisdom and your continued support because we are now facing the same set of challenges that we were facing with 99, with the addition of any time anybody in that facility would flush a toilet and the sewer fails, then we're in a situation where we've then exposed, in our only drinking water supply to having residual drugs permanently tainting our drinking water supply, so it gets worse.

2.3

MR. PREZIOSI: Ms. Black, thank you. If you could just state your name just for the record, and then we can move on to the next speaker.

MS. BLACK: It's Jamie Black. I own the home at 35 Quaker Bridge Road. I've owned it for over 25 years. I'm basically like 1,500 feet down the road from where they want to establish a wellness facility.

MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Before you, before you call the next speaker, I'd just like to remind the speakers to come that there will be another opportunity for you to speak at the next, well, on April 22nd when we have the special meeting. Please try as hard as possible to keep the comments brief. There are a lot of things that people are beginning to repeat and we have heard them, you know, not just tonight, but previously and they're also in many of the documents. So in order that all the other remaining speaking maybe, and I doubt it, can speak tonight, because it's already 9:30, try to

2.3

keep your comments as brief as possible. We would really appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: I'm going to promote Mark Barth to speaker. When you join us just state your name and address for the record. And I also just want to stress there's a couple of chats going on in the chat function. You can also email over comments to the town or put a regular standard mail. If you want to e-mail, it will go to ChrisK, that's C-H-R-I-S-K as in Keith, at townofcortlandt.com. Written comments will be addressed in the same manner that verbal comments are being proposed and processed tonight. Mr. Barth, just unmute yourself, then state your name and address for the record.

MR. MARK BARTH: My name is Mark Barth.

I live at 8 Quaker Hill Court East, which is just off Quaker Hill Drive. Good evening, and thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak. I will try to keep this brief. I should say that I'm not a member of CRHISD, and I'm not represented by their counsel.

I've lived at 8 Quaker Hill Court East,

2.3

just off Quaker Hill Drive, for over 34 years. Quaker Hill Drive has always been a quiet, no outlet road, with access only for residents of the 30 something homes on the drive.

Consequently, it attracts families with young children. Over 34 years, I've seen various groups of children and adults walk, run and bike on the drive, including my children, other families' children and my grandchildren.

Currently, we have a new crop of small children in the neighborhood. Quaker Hill Drive has always been quiet and dark at night, no street lights. I walk my dogs most evenings at 10:00 p.m., and we normally don't see another car on the road. On clear nights, the stars are out.

I'm describing this to you because there is a potential feature of the Hudson Wellness
Center that could change all this and severely
affect the ambiance of the neighborhood. And
easement has been granted to the Wellness Center
by an affiliated property owner at 81 Quaker Hill
Drive. The easement runs from Quaker Hill drive
to the wellness center, and the grant is for any

2.3

and all purposes.

To assess the potential impact of the easement, we must assume that it will be used for vehicular traffic to and from the wellness center, in effect creating a thoroughfare along Quaker Hill Drive for traffic to and from the wellness center. This would constitute a substantial impact to the wellness center development on our neighborhood. Further, the wellness center will, understandably, need to be well lighted. Would this include lighting along a road constructed along the easement, ending our peaceful night darkness along the drive?

We know little about the easement, other than the few details provided by the public and nothing about the reasons for it or its intended use. However, if the easement is an integral part of the wellness center, and is used for operations or the convenience of its patients and staff, then the wellness center itself constitutes a substantial impact on the ambiance and character of our neighborhood and a significant safety concern, given the large

1 April 6, 2021 number of small children in our neighborhood. 2 I would hope that you would not accept 3 4 glib assurances about the intended use of the easement and instead view it in light of the 5 potential for what it could become. 6 7 Recently, someone has put up some signs in front of the wellness center about healthcare 8 9 being a human right. That's certainly a worthy 10 aspiration. But platitudes like this don't excuse 11 everything. Perhaps maintaining the 34-year 12 character of a quiet residential street could 13 also be considered. Thank you for hearing me out. MR. KESSLER: Thank you. 14 MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. 15 16 MR. PREZIOSI: Alright, our next speaker 17 was Melissa London, your hand went down. If you 18 still want to speak, please use the raise your 19 hand function. 20 MS. TAYLOR: Perhaps she's not there, 21 Mike, I don't know. 22 MR. PREZIOSI: I just promoted her, 2.3 she's joining us in a second. 24 MS. TAYLOR: Okay.

April	6,	2021

2.3

MR. PREZIOSI: Just state your name,				
state your name and address for the record. You				
can use the alt then A keys to unmute yourself or				
use the unmute button on the Zoom screen. Ms.				
London, if you can hold down alt and A at the				
same time on your keyboard, it'll unmute you.				
Alright, for the sake of time, I'm going to move				
to the next speaker, which is Dan Fogelman.				

MR. DAN FOGELMAN: Hello, I'm on unmute. Can you guys hear me?

 $\mbox{MS. FOGELMAN:} \quad \mbox{I'm going to turn it off.}$ There we go.

MR. FOGELMAN: One second, please.

MS. FOGELMAN: There we go.

MR. FOGELMAN: Thank you, guys.

MR. PREZIOSI: Please just one speaker.

MS. FOGELMAN: Yeah, we know, we got it.

MR. FOGELMAN: We got it. So, I want to just piggyback on what Mark said. He took a lot of my arguments, but to be honest with you, I'm one of the families that he's referring to. You know, my name is Daniel Fogelman. I live at 7 Quaker Hill Drive. I'm speaking on behalf of my

2.3

wife, of myself and my wife Lauren. I've lived here for three years. I moved into the neighborhood August 2018. My wife and I love the Teatown area. We love the access to nature and we love the quiet pace of life here. We can sit on our porch and gaze at the stars, which is not impeded by [unintelligible] [02:38:59] pollution. When the comet Neowise was visible in the sky last summer, we had a front row seat here in Teatown.

And one of our favorite activities, especially during the pandemic, is to take our small children Alex, aged three, and Evan, aged one, on a walk around the neighborhood. As was said, Quaker Hill Drive is a street with no outlets, only one way in and only one way out. We chose our house in part because the street was perfect for raising a young family. We imagined our children learning how to ride bikes to the end of the street and back, and are proud to see Alex beginning to do so on his tricycle.

Although there's some regular traffic on the streets, we feel relatively safe bringing our

2.3

kids along with us on walks and bike rides. When cars come, we have to be very careful in order to protect our children. The street cannot handle any more traffic than it already has and maintain the family friendly environment that the many young families on the street need.

So we're concerned that this easement that was just spoken about at 81 Quaker Hill Drive might change this. The residential street is no place for potential traffic that might come with the proposed facility and we're deeply distressed that the building will have an access point on our road. And I'd also like to add that Quaker Hill Drive is very narrow, since it's boulevard style street and trucks have difficulty seen pedestrians, especially children at corners.

By approving this drug rehab facility, complete with the easements, traffic on our street would increase. That puts our children at greater risk to be hit by cars due to an increase in traffic. We might not be able to take the evening strolls that have become a vital part of our day to day life. Further, it could create, it

2.3

could increase the number of trucks going up and down our streets, which would create noise hazards.

Finally, if lights were added to our streets, or if lights were added in this facility, it would increase the light and it would increase light pollution and lessen our ability to stargaze.

In short, as was stated by so many speakers beforehand, and even me, this project would destroy the character of our streets. And so, for this reason, we ask you not to approve this drug facility, rehab facility. So many people are coming across to you saying we don't like this idea, we think this is a bad idea. Maybe there is some wisdom in the masses that many people together come together and say this is not going to work for us. That's all. Thank you very much.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. Alright. Thank you so much, Michael.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay, we're going to go to the next speaker Samm Sacks, if you can just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

state your name and address for the record.

MS. SAMM SACKS: Hi, my name is Samm Sacks. I live at 31 Quaker Hill Drive. We moved in last June, we have two children, ages seven and four. I am not a member of CRHISD, I am not represented by counsel. And I have become very distressed in learning about the easement and the safety concerns related to our children in the neighborhood. I'm not sure if you all are aware, but we've already sought the town's help in putting up additional signage for a stop sign and for cutting back shrubbery. The neighborhood is quiet, but there are blind curves. And I am already very troubled about the safety of my children. We moved here so they could ride their bikes and walk in a peaceful residential area. There are already safety concerns in the neighborhood.

And so now, when I hear that there's been an easement granted for a facility for any purpose, I am really distressed and worried about my children, from a safety, from a traffic perspective. What is the purpose of this

2.3

easement? Is Quaker Hill Drive going to become part of a commercial facility? What kind of -- will there be trucks, will there be other kinds of vehicles?

I completely agree with the comments that were made about the traffic implications here. If the applicant is able to do a traffic assessment, what will the traffic implications be? How will we be able to verify that that's actually the truth? We have young children whose safety and whose lives are at stake.

I should say I don't really have problems with this facility. I think the comments that were made about a yeshiva are odious. We are part of the local synagogue. I know that Dan Fogelman's wife is the cantor at our synagogue. So I want to completely distance from whatever those comments were made.

But there are serious traffic and safety implications for the children on Quaker Hill Drive. And I really, I am begging the Board for the safety of our family, please look into what this easement is. It's really alarming and

2.3

April 6, 2021

distressing for young families in this
neighborhood to be affected by it. We need
answers and accountability about traffic that
would be coming into this neighborhood, by an
applicant who I've heard maybe other information
is really concerning. So, thank you, I really
appreciate your taking the time to look into
this.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much.

MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you. Our next speaker is going to be Jennifer Schantz.

MS. JENNIFER SCHANTZ: Hi. My name is

Jennifer Schantz. I live at 30 Quaker Hill Drive.

I have many of the comments that were mentioned

before, so I will not go over them again. But I

just wanted to mention my own personal story.

That my family and I moved here -- well, I grew

up on Croton actually, and then moved to the city

but we sold our apartment and invested all of

savings in this home. And our, we've lived here

for now since 2008. And we live here with our

teen kids and with our dog. And we spend a great

deal of time walking the neighborhood, watching

2.3

April 6, 2021

young children, including Samm's children wander the streets. And we too are very concerned about the safety of children. Often, we notice that cars speed down the road and whip around corners and kids on bikes, you now, we're hoping can continue to ride safely. But we fear with this easement that the traffic will greatly increase and will hinder the safety of the children.

I also just wanted to mention too that there is a house that burned down across the street from us just prior to the time that we moved here. And the reason it burned down was because there was an ice storm and it was impossible for the fire trucks to get here on time. And so I just wanted to mention, just in terms of the roads, that when there is an ice storm or when trees fall, that it is difficult to get the fire truck somewhere quickly. So I just want you to consider that also in terms of considering the application. We urge you to deny the application. Thank you.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much. I just want to make a note, it is now a quarter of

2.3

10:00. I don't know how many people are remaining but we will be concluding the hearing at 10:00 and anyone who didn't get a chance to speak tonight is encouraged to send their comments in to the office or and/or come on our April,

Thursday, April 22nd meeting. It'll start at 7:00 o'clock.

MR. PREZIOSI: Our next speaker is Megan Zilis, state your name and address for the record.

MS. MEGAN ZILIS: Hello, can I be heard?

MR. PREZIOSI: You're good, yeah.

MS. ZILIS: Okay. Thank you. I will be brief. I did not hear much, if anything about what the site is really for and I think at the end of the day, it is about saving lives in the community, in a community where it is so very much needed. Personally, I can't help but to support what I believe to be a very worthy cause, and I envy those who have had no encounter with anyone with addiction and are blessed enough to be able to oppose it. And that's all I have to say.

	\mathbb{I}
1	Page 14 April 6, 2021
2	MR. PREZIOSI: Thank you.
3	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.
4	MS. ZILIS: Thank you.
5	MR. PREZIOSI: Our next speaker is going
6	to be, just indicates that her name is Laurie.
7	Just state your full name and address for the
8	record.
9	MS. LAURIE LECHTHALER: Yes, my name is
10	can you hear me?
11	MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, we can hear you.
12	MS. TAYLOR: Yes, yes.
13	MS. LECHTHALER: Good evening, my name
14	is Laurie Lechthaler and I live at 25 Apple Bee
15	Farm Road in Croton. I've lived in this area for
16	nearly my entire life, having grown up in the
17	immediate area. I rode horses, bicycles and drove
18	cars by the said site hundreds of times. During
19	those times, I can never remember ever seeing any
20	activity or traffic near this site. The roads
21	were quiet and bucolic and exactly why my husband
22	and family and I decided to stay in the area.
23	The increased traffic that would result
24	if a hospital is placed on this site would be

2.3

detrimental to our safety and the quiet nature of our neighborhood. I have listened to the applicant at nearly every meeting discuss how there will be minimal deliveries and staff traffic for this hospital.

Having worked as a nurse in several area hospitals for the past 40 years, I certainly understand the myriad of services needed to safely run a hospital of any size. I find it very difficult to believe that all staff or the majority of the staff will be arriving via shuttle van for many reasons previously mentioned by other folks commenting tonight.

In addition to the staff, trucks for food service, medications, refuse disposal, and medical equipment will have to frequently use our narrow country roads.

I would also like to address what the impact on our local wildlife would be. I understand that Teatown Reservation is currently undertaking projects to improve nearby land to facilitate movement of wildlife. What would the impact of perimeter fencing, institutional

2.3

lighting and greatly increased vehicular traffic have on our wildlife? I believe a biodiversity study should be implemented as the diversity of wildlife in our area contributes to the character of our neighborhood and could be jeopardized by this project.

Let me finish by saying that no one has told me what to say tonight. They are truly my own feelings and beliefs on this matter. I, like many other neighborhood residents urge the Board to carefully consider recommending this project take place in the MOD area developed specifically for these types of facilities. Thank you and good night.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. Our next speaker is going to be Javier Picayo.

MR. JAVIER PICAYO: Hi, sorry about that. Hey everybody. My name is Javier Picayo. I live at 7 Teatown Road. It's the first house just off of Quaker Ridge, just down the street from the proposed project. And Ms. Taylor and all the members of the board, I just want to say thanks

2.3

for letting me speak. You know, my family moved into this house when I was two years old and I've been here for 30 years. I really love this neighborhood and this community.

But I'm also, I've been sober for about ten years now. And so sobriety and recovery is something that are very important to me. I work with people in these types of institutions, I volunteer at them, and I appreciate the work they do. And all that being said, I opposed this project so strongly. You know, everybody has talked about the impact it'll have in the future on our community, but I think you can all see that it's already had such a tremendous negative impact on who we are and the lives that we're living. I mean I think about this every day.

And, you know, because it was mentioned earlier, I find it so -- I'm so deeply disturbed that the representative for the proposed project would say that our environmental concerns or community concerns are some veiled attempt at anti-Semitism or bigotry against alcoholics or drug addicts. I can tell you that I person don't

April 6, 2021

2

care if there are recovering addicts in the area.

3

They're already here. I'm here.

4

But I do have a problem with the

5

misinformation and the lies that they have been

6 7

to say that it's not going to have any impact on

giving. And, you know, I think that they're going

8

the community and we're going to say that it is.

9

But last meeting, I heard one of you question a

10

solar panel project and say that you were

11

concerned about the environmental impact. And I

12

thought it was such a reasonable concern to have.

And so I'm not an expert on anything

13

14 that has to do with this project. But I do

15

occasionally have common sense, and I just feel

16

like how could a project of this magnitude not

17

have any impact on our community, which it

18

already has, or on the environment? I think the fact that they're saying that should be such a

19 20

huge red flag to all of us, and that this project

21

should be denied.

22

2.3

24

You know, it's already impacted our community. I'm not too proud to beg about this. I really, really beg that you deny this project and

1 April 6, 2021 think about the people who have lived here for 2 decades. And as a sober person, as a resident of 3 4 this community, I think that there are places that are suitable for this kind of work. And it's 5 something that's so important. But it is not 6 7 right to do it. And so I thank you for letting me 8 speak and I hope I haven't taken too much of your 9 time. Thank you. 10 MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much. Mike, 11 do we have anybody else? 12 MR. PREZIOSI: Yeah, we have a few, 13 three more speakers right now. The next person is 14 Josh Marks. Just state your name and address for 15 the record. 16 MS. TAYLOR: Okay. It is now five of 17 10:00 and we do, we do still have a couple of 18 other things that we have to do tonight on other 19 cases. 20 MR. KESSLER: It's only three more 21 speakers. Loretta. Why don't we just have the

MS. TAYLOR: Hello.

MR. JOSH MARKS: Hello, how you doing?

22

2.3

24

three go.

2.3

MR. MARKS: My name is Josh Marks. I'm here with my wife, Jennifer. We live at 7 Quaker Hill Court East, which is the cross cul-de-sac on Quaker Hill Drive. We moved here a couple years ago from lower Westchester, because it was very crowded down there and we wanted to be in the area that is a little more spread out and have some privacy in the backyard and live on a culde-sac where the kids are able to ride their bikes in the street, ride their scooters. If you come up here on any beautiful day, you may see dozens of kids out on the street going back and forth through the cul-de-sac.

As someone mentioned earlier, we already have concerns and we've requested stop signs because of all the kids that are out there playing all the time. We also wanted to come up here to listen to nature, be surrounded by nature, and not hear traffic, road noise and potentially delivery trucks.

At nighttime, it's dark here. The only light is from the moon and the stars, which is a beautiful thing. And we were not looking to see

April 6, 2021

lot.

hundreds of lights from a complex and a parking

_

2.3

Most concerning for us is we border 81

Quaker, Quaker Hill and the easement goes along the side of our property, along the entire side of our property. It's a massive concern for us, it's 30 feet wide. I can't see what it would be used for except for vehicle access. I'm concerned about what kind of lighting is going to be on that. You know, I moved here to look out at the woods and look at the animals. And I'm very concerned I'm going to have a thoroughfare for delivery trucks or fire trucks or EMTs or employees or whatever.

Another concern that I have is the drainage. The drainage from Quaker Hill Drive, which floods often, goes right through this easement. I'm curious how this easement affects the drainage from our area. It drains down to the pond below and I'm curious how that, how they cover that in the environmental study.

On a personal level, my leech field is below the easement. Is the drainage from the

street and this easement going to go into my leech field? Is that going to cause a problem for me?

I'm worried about the noise, how often it's going to be used and really if any other traffic is coming through this street, which is a cul-de-sac which is why we moved here in the first place, that's a major, major concern for me.

This is all new to me. I've only been here for a couple of years, and the last meeting is the first time I've ever heard about this easement, which was surprising and I'm also concerned about what other surprises are coming up.

In the end, our biggest concern here is that the neighborhood is quiet, safe and kidfriendly. That's why we're here. And this easement seems like it might be a slippery slope in the wrong direction. Thank you for listening and thank you for your time.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much. Okay.

MR. KESSLER: Two more, right Mike?

April	6,	2021
	April	April 6,

2.3

MR. PREZIOSI: Yes, we have two more, if we can keep it relatively quick, and again, there's no need to restate or repeat any previous comments. They're all entered into the record and are addressed in the same manner. Our next speaker will be Peter Valentine.

MS. TAYLOR: I'm sorry, I didn't get the name.

MR. PREZIOSI: Peter Valentine.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you.

MR. PREZIOSI: Just please state your name and address, please.

MS. MAGGIE DESILVA: Hi. I'm Maggie

Desilva and this is my husband, Peter Valentine.

We live at 79 Glendale Road. My family has lived here since 1965. We're not going to restate what everyone else has said. We do want to say that on Glendale Road, there are a lot of children. We have a new generation of children here. And then Pete had something specific he wanted to mention.

MR. PETER VALENTINE: Yeah, there's just something unique about Teatown Road where I think Josh said he, or one of the previous speakers

April 6, 2021

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

says they live. Teatown Trails actually merge with and share the road for part of that, for part of the trail, which is kind of unique.

There's also bicycle and pedestrian walkway below the site on Quaker Bridge Road, that where the bike route crosses the road. Which I think is kind of unique and it says something about just kind of the character of this area, that the planners felt like that was appropriate, and it is appropriate in the way things are set up now, to have nature trails merge on with roads. People walk back the old stone walls and whatnot.

My wife and I often take that trail and instead of continuing on to the other Teatown trails, we just walk down Quaker Ridge Road and then down to Glendale Road and back to our house on a loop. So the proposed plan is definitely not in line with that kind of lifestyle, or that kind of flavor. That's all.

MS. DESILVA: That's all. Thank you.

MR. VALENTINE: Thanks.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you much.

2.3

MR. PREZIOSI: Okay. And our last speaker for tonight will be Jacqueline Brabants

MS. JACQUELINE BRABANTS: Hi, Jackie
Brabants, 23 Quaker Hill Drive. You know I can
sit here and reiterate pretty much what everyone
has said here tonight about the detrimental
effects, especially of the easement. I just want
to relate a couple personal stories about my
family and why we chose to live here.

First, I do want to dispel the fact that those of us that are fighting this have not been touched by addiction, mental illness. I don't think anybody has the right to claim what someone has and has not been affected by and why we are against this facility.

Moving forward, I want to relate two stories around my children and this neighborhood and why we love living on Quaker Hill Drive.

Earlier this, or I guess it was late 2020, my child came home from her sixth grade class.

They're learning about the moon and the stars and astronomy, and it was the night where Jupiter,

Saturn and Mars could all be seen at the same

time.

2.3

We would not have been able to see that if we had these lights blaring up in the sky.

Part of the reason we like living here is because we can go out, I can show her the constellations and we can look and wonder at what is above us.

Additionally, we've talked a lot about my kids bike and skateboard on this street all the time. And the community aspect of this street, my child ran into another family and ended up being their mother's helper because they ran into each other on the street during their walks and their bike rides. That happens because we have the safety of being on the street and being on a one-way in, one-way out road where mostly the traffic is the residents that live here.

And lastly, I just want to add another thing where I find it amazing that this type of development can be considered when in the past I have asked to build a fence on my property and have been denied that privilege. So if I can't build a fence, I do not understand why this is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

even being considered and how this has not been shut down already. Thank you very much for your time and I appreciate your support and look forward to you fighting for this community and all of our families.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you very much. Okay, I just want to remind everybody we do have another session, a special meeting for this particular application. In fact it will be the only application on the agenda for that evening. And that evening will be Thursday, April 22nd, 7:00 p.m. So you can join us then if you would like to continue this conversation, if some of you are here but haven't spoken, you'll have a chance to speak that night and we again to ask everybody to think about the comments that they're making and try as much as you can to avoid a lot of repetition that we are very much aware of at this particular point. So with that -

MR. KIMMERLING: Madam Chair, I make a motion to adjourn the public hearing until the special meeting on April 22nd.

	Dago 150
1	Page 159 April 6, 2021
2	MS. TAYLOR: Very good, thank you.
3	MR. KESSLER: Second.
4	MR. KIMMERLING: And for setting the
5	site visit for Sunday, April 18th.
6	MS. TAYLOR: Oh.
7	MR. KIMMERLING: I imagine at 9:00 a.m.,
8	although we haven't talked about that, but that's
9	the typical time.
10	MS. TAYLOR: Yeah.
11	MR. KEHOE: Did you want to discuss the
12	particulars of that at all? Just quickly, 9:00
13	a.m. do you want to go directly to the site
14	first?
15	MS. TAYLOR: I think that's usually what
16	we do first, you know, go to the site first.
17	MR. KESSLER: The site, I'd like to also
18	walk the easement and I'd love to see where the
19	generators are going to be placed as well.
20	MR. KEHOE: Okay.
21	MS. TAYLOR: George.
22	MR. KIMMERLING: So the motion is on the
23	table and looking for a second.
24	MR. KESSLER: Second.

1	April 6, 2021
2	MR. FOLEY: Okay.
3	MS. TAYLOR: On the question?
4	MR. FOLEY: On the question, on the site
5	visit, to get to the easement portion, we'd have
6	to get back in our cars, or can we walk it from
7	the main gate, or the main part of the site? I've
8	been in the area, I know there's a distance
9	there.
10	MR. KEHOE: We'll have to figure that
11	out. I'll get you that information.
12	MR. FOLEY: Okay. Thank you.
13	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. So that's the only
14	one the question issue, right? Very good. All in
15	favor?
16	MULTIPLE: Aye.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Thank you much,
18	everybody. Then we can move on.
19	MR. KESSLER: Thank you all.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Okay. Alright. The final
21	portion of the agenda is ascribed to old business
22	and the first item in that regard is PB 2020-9,
23	the application of CVE North America, Inc., for
24	the property of Kirquel Development Limited and

2.3

Patrick and Sharron Parr for sight development plan approval and a special permit and for tree removal and steep slope permits for a proposed three MW solar energy production facility to be located on two parcels of property located along Red Mill Road and at the end of Mill Court, totally approximately 43.12 acres. The drawings, or the latest ones, revised as of March 2021. Is there anybody here to handle that? Chris?

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, I think Mike Preziosi is getting ready or Michael Cunningham. Carson, I saw was here from the applicant and the attorney.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Right. So I just promoted the attorney Jared Lusk and Carson Weinand, who is one of the principals.

MR. CARSON WEINAND: Hello. Can you hear me?

MS. TAYLOR: Yes.

MR. WEINAND: Great. It's good to see you all. There's Jared Lusk as well, our Counsel from Nix & Peabody. Thanks for having us tonight. I know it's late. I know that was just a lengthy hearing. We just want to be, we wanted to be

2.3

April 6, 2021

succinct here and keep it brief. We just wanted to check in to talk about our resubmission to the town in response to planning and engineering and fire inspector comments we received last year.

We just worked through those comments and resubmitted a full detailed site plan package, making revisions to the sites. So this is the five megawatt solar farm at the end of Mill Court off of Red Mill Road. And yes, just some high level quick benefits, you know, we redesigned the layout to meet the fire inspector's standards of 150 feet maximum hose pull length. So the site has a totally different look. It offers much greater access and meets the fire inspector's comments.

MR. PREZIOSI: Carson, you can share the screen if you'd like, or I can pull up these in the middle, it's up to you.

MR. WEINAND: Okay. Yeah, we weren't planning on sharing anything, just wanted to talk about it and be brief, maybe five minutes. But that's one of the biggest benefits of our revision is better access for fire and emergency

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

vehicles. There's a slightly reduced limit of disturbance. We decided to move, as part of this site layout redesign, we moved the permanent access road from the secondary Parr parcel to the end of Mill Court. And so that the ongoing maintenance will access through Mill Court and we'd also like to use that access road for construction. So we're moving the permanent road and the construction road off of Mill Court. We thought this provided a handful of benefits with regards to a reduced footprint, a reduced limit of disturbance. There's less trees we have to remove, less trees we have to replant. It frees up traffic during construction on Red Mill Road as well. And there's less noise behind the homes on the east side of Mill Court. So those are two big benefits.

We've also added biodiversity measures. With this resubmission, we included a biodiversity enhancement set. It includes details on a pollinator friendly seed mix underneath the array and surrounding the array. It includes elevated fences surrounding the array, about six

2.3

inches off the ground, so that we don't impact
the existing wildlife corridor. We've added bird
boxes, a handful of bird boxes throughout the
site and felled trees, sort of nested felled
trees to provide habitats on site.

There were a handful of other requests from the town in response to the comments we received last year. You know, we've submitted updated visual impact assessments. We added about a half dozen locations, specifically the ones the town was requesting. We've covered very thoroughly all sides of the project that have an impact on the nearby community. So the northern perimeter, the southern perimeter and the western perimeter, we've added locations for visual photo simulations, for photo snapshots and simulations.

We updated our noise assessment because we redesigned the layout. We had to move some of the energy storage equipment and the inverters and the transformers. They are a little bit closer to the property line with the updated layout, but the noise assessment shows that we are still underneath the New York State

April 6, 2021

2

requirement, their threshold, and so, we're well

We've included a decommissioning plan

3

under the town of Cortlandt's requirement as

4

well, during the day and during the night.

5

6 that includes a tree mitigation and reforestation

7

plan for when we do remove the site. We included

8

a landscaping plan. This is new, that will cover

9

the perimeter along the southern, western and

10

northern edges of the site to shield it from the

11

homes nearby.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

20

24

We included a steep slope analysis. This is something that the town was asking for. The impacts to steep slope are pretty minimal, there's about four-and-a-half acres of slopes over 15 percent. Two-and-a-half of those four-and-a-half acres are below 20 degrees, the 20 percent grade. So minimal, pretty minimal impacts on the very steep slopes, only 165 out of the proposed 3,300, 3,400 trees are on those steep slopes. Of the trees that we're removing, roughly 3,400, only 165 are on steep slopes.

That segues into updating the tree survey. So we are actually reducing our impact by

2.3

about 400 trees with this updated layout. And that's captured in the site plan set we're proposing. Yeah, so maybe I'll stop there, see if you have any questions. That was a lot, that was a long list. But those were some of the high points in our resubmission. But we're looking forward to receiving comments from the town and reappearing and responding over the next month or two, as well.

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, and we had mentioned at the work session that Steve Coleman is no longer able to provide the biodiversity. Staff is working on talking to at least two or three other firms, sending them your information that you provided to us your idea for a scope for a biodiversity study, or your idea that you've already more or less done one. We want them to comment on that. So I apologize for not working out with Steve Coleman what. We'll get after that. We're already working on it, and we'll get you something in the next few days. Hopefully, a firm will quickly be able to take up that proposal.

2.3

MR. WEINAND: Yeah, that'd be great.

We're looking forward to that. In the meantime,
we went ahead and drafted our own intermediary
biodiversity study, well, we mentioned at the
last planning board meeting what we outlined in
the memo that we shared with the town. So we've
performed that work, in addition to an onsite bog
turtle study, so we'll have that ready to share
with the town soon enough and then we can
supplement with whatever the consultant is
suggesting.

MR. KEHOE: And then I think the biggest takeaway, which Carson had mentioned was I believe you're still showing your interconnect through the Parr property, so there will be trees removed in there, but fewer trees, so that's good to know, because that impacts the amount of biodiversity. I mean they still have to study that parcel, but it is a different impact than if the construction road was proposed through there. So that is a benefit, however, that's one thing that staff went back and forth with the applicants on, balancing the impact to the Parr

1 April 6, 2021 property versus the impacts to all of the 2 3 residents on Mill Court. So I know you've been 4 back and forth on that a little bit. MR. JARED LUSK: 5 Yeah. MR. WEINAND: That was one of the 6 7 biggest changes with this submission. We think that it's a better layout. We think it'll be 8 9 better for construction and during the long term 10 if we avoid installing a road on the Parr parcel. 11 MR. LUSK: The road would be right 12 behind the residential homes. We felt like they 13 were getting impacted on the front and the back 14 by removing the trees that all in all from a 15 neighborhood perspective, it probably made sense 16 to keep those trees behind the house. 17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. FOLEY: So there wouldn't be any service road access onto Red Mill from the property? That's eliminated?

MR. WEINAND: There would be a short access road onto the park property off of Red Mill Road only for the utility company.

MR. FOLEY: And that would only be two trucks a month or whatever you had said in the

1	April 6, 2021
2	Peabody [unintelligible] [03:18:50]
3	MR. WEINAND: Yeah.
4	MR. FOLEY: in the Peabody Report?
5	MR. WEINAND: So the ongoing maintenance
6	of the PV system itself would happen off of Mill
7	Court. But if there's any issues with the
8	interconnection, at the interconnection point,
9	the short access road for that.
10	MR. KEHOE: It's totally different in
11	scope than the access road that was originally
12	proposed?
13	MR. WEINAND: Correct.
14	MR. LUSK: Yes, it's more like a
15	driveway.
16	MR. FOLEY: Less impact onto Red Mill?
17	MR. LUSK: Yes.
18	MR. FOLEY: A dangerous road, okay.
19	MR. WEINAND: Yeah, yeah, and you're
20	moving all of the construction traffic further
21	down Red Mill Road at a straighter point of Red
22	Mill Road
23	MR. FOLEY: Right.
24	MR. WEINAND: onto Mill Court, you're

1	April 6, 2021
2	avoiding construction, big construction trucks
3	having to turn right by that S in Red Mill Road.
4	MR. FOLEY: I understand. It's better.
5	MR. WEINAND: More visibility.
6	MR. FOLEY: I also have another question
7	if I could, real quick. In reading through the
8	Nix & Peabody and the other, TRC, on the visuals
9	where the you mentioned slopes and the arrays.
10	Is there a better way to show, you don't have to
11	go into it now, the impacts visually to the
12	existing houses on Mill Court and Red Mill.
13	That's something for the next meeting maybe.
14	And next to last question, on the last
15	page of that report from Con Edison, maybe this
16	is just boilerplate or something could explain,
17	what do they mean by Con Ed service date of
18	October 6, 2021? Does Mike know that?
19	MR. WEINAND: Service date?
20	MR. FOLEY: Yeah. There's a letter here
21	from customer project manager at Con Ed. It's in
22	your packet.
23	MR. WEINAND: Uh-huh.
24	MR. FOLEY: Does that mean that's when

	Daga 171
1	Page 171 April 6, 2021
2	you expect to be in operation?
3	MR. WEINAND: In 2021?
4	MR. FOLEY: Yeah.
5	MR. WEINAND: October 6, 2021?
6	MR. FOLEY: Correct.
7	MR. WEINAND: I'm not sure. That's
8	looking out.
9	MR. FOLEY: Alright. Maybe Mike could
10	check it out. And last question maybe for staff
11	as this moves along, because of a development
12	just over the border, I know it's in Yorktown, on
13	Lockwood Road, a half-mile from this site,
14	another large solar farm is proposed. Could we do
15	an interagency Chris, and enter a municipal type
16	thing on this?
17	MR. KEHOE: Well, and Bob, you and I
18	talked about this briefly.
19	MR. FOLEY: Yes.
20	MR. KEHOE: David Douglas, from the
21	conservation board has written to the town board,
22	asking them to think about modifying the solar
23	ordinance moving forward, you know, not impacting

projects that are currently in the system.

24

MR. FOLEY: Yeah.

2.3

MR. KEHOE: And then, and he cc'd only
Loretta on that memo, you know, sort of chairman
of the CAC to the chairperson of the planning
board. So Loretta asked at some point if the
planning board, obviously not tonight, might want
to think about, you know, whatever. I mean one
idea is to also maybe recommend the town board
contemplate prioritizing location of solar farms,
trying to move them away from wooded areas. I
mean that's up to the planning board to discuss
at a later date.

But I think Bob's point there is a proposal in Yorktown. There's also a proposal on the Yorktown portion of the Hemlock Hill farm, there's this proposal, there's the proposal on Lexington, there's the one that's constructed on Croton. So there was just an issue of whether we're getting saturated with [unintelligible] [03:22:20].

MR. FOLEY: It becomes more than intra, it becomes inter, is that the right term? Where you have two municipalities and we would have to

1	April 6, 2021
2	have some communication on it. And this one is
3	close. We just found out it in the neighborhood
4	up here with a letter from Yorktown. Thank you.
5	MS. TAYLOR: Alright. Are there any more
6	questions at this point, because Bob you could
7	actually refer, take this to, move to refer this
8	back to
9	MR. FOLEY: Alright. I make a motion
10	that we refer this back.
11	MS. TAYLOR: Can I get a second, please,
12	thank you.
13	MR. BIANCHI: Second.
14	MS. TAYLOR: Thank you. On the question?
15	All in favor?
16	MULTIPLE: Aye.
17	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay.
18	MR. WEINAND: Thank you.
19	MR. KEHOE: Thank you.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Alright, the final item
21	tonight is PB 2021-1, the referral from the town
22	board for the request of NRP Group for a
23	recommendation to the town board on a proposed
24	zoning text amendment for a proposed 135-unit

April 6, 2021

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

active adult residential community, to be located at 119 Oregon Road, and that is Colonial Terrace, as we all know. Alright.

MR. KEHOE: I, I know we had a lot of back and forth and confusion about the Google Drive, but somewhere you would have gotten a copy of the draft memo from you to the town board regarding the zoning text amendment. And that's for you guys to take a look at, and you don't have to do it right now, but if you have any additional comments you want to make on that, I can try to revise it and get it over to the town board for their consideration.

And I think David Steinmetz. This has been shared with the applicant, you know, I shared it with you and then I sent it to David after the fact. So I don't know if he has any comment.

MR. STEINMETZ: We did receive it. We had about ten speakers that we were going to have address you this evening. But we will refrain. We will refrain. It's good to see you're all awake enough to laugh at this point.

21

22

2.3

24

MR. KESSLER: You've used up your

MR. STEINMETZ: I didn't say a word, Steve, come on, I didn't say a word.

MR. KESSLER: Your minions.

MR. STEINMETZ: Alright. Anyway, thank you, Chris for sharing it. We appreciate the memo. We have no comments, we would ask that your board forward it on to the town board. Our team is present if you have questions for us. Otherwise, we look forward to coming back on an evening when you have plenty of energy to advance

MR. KEHOE: Just briefly one thing, you did fund the escrow account to begin some funding of the necessary environmental work, but, and I know we can do this at another time, but we haven't assigned a PB number to it yet. You haven't given us an application form. Obviously, that would be the next step.

MR. STEINMETZ: That's fine. Understood. And I'm not to hide from you. Preziosi won't let me show face here, Steve.

1	Page 17 April 6, 2021
2	MR. KESSLER: That's good. Madam Chair,
3	I move that we refer this back and instruct the
4	planning board to provide the comments to staff
5	for the memo.
6	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
7	MS. TAYLOR: On the question
8	MR. KEHOE: Sorry, just do that quickly,
9	if you have any comments because we've got to get
10	it to the town board for their meeting next week
11	I think.
12	MR. KIMMERLING: Got it.
13	MS. TAYLOR: On the question, all in
14	favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MS. TAYLOR: Opposed? Okay.
17	MR. FOLEY: George.
18	MR. KEHOE: 10:26, we're adjourned.
19	MR. KESSLER: Good night everyone.
20	MS. TAYLOR: Good night.
21	MR. KIMMERLING: Good night, Loretta.
22	MS. TAYLOR: Good night everyone.
23	(The public board meeting concluded at
24	10:27 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on April 6, 2021 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: June 4, 2021

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018